

3 Branches of economic theory:

- Game theory
- Mechanism design
- General equilibrium theory

What happens if we introduce some private information into general equilibrium theory?

Idiosyncratic risk - cannot be insured against due to incomplete markets.

Why does Bill Gates have such an undiversified portfolio?

↳ Not a huge principal-agent problem here

Prescott and Townsend incorporated IC constraints in GE theory.

↳ GE with indivisibilities

↳ Lotteries solve the ex ante IC issue.

Lotteries are very important in asset markets. It is very easy to construct any gamble in those asset markets.

Goals:

- Rich face incentive constraints
- Poor face participation constraints
- Don't observe insurance for workers against market conditions

Continuum of ex ante identical individuals

c_j - consumption of good j , $j=1,2$

$$U = \bar{u}_1(c_1) + \bar{u}_2(c_2)$$

50% chance of being in state $s=1,2$

$$w_1(2) > w_1(1); w_2(2) = w_2(1)$$

Maximize utility at $\frac{w_1(1) + w_1(2)}{2}$ of good 1 and w_2 of good 2

First-best allocation. But what about IC constrained equilibria

- Equalize MRS b/t the two goods for the two types

Mechanism design:

Ex ante, want to purchase $x_1(1) > 0$ in exchange for $x_1(2) < 0$

Spse low endowment types cannot misrepresent

The only interesting IC is:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1(\omega_1(2) + x_1(2)) + \tilde{u}_2(\omega_2 + x_2(2)) \\ \geq \tilde{u}_1(\omega_1(2) + x_1(1)) + \tilde{u}_2(\omega_2 + x_2(1)) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the contract is a 4-vector: $\{(x_1(1), x_1(2), x_2(1), x_2(2))\}$
 Almost certainly, we can sustain trade in equilibrium.

Two critical elements:

1] Ex ante contracting

2] Need to monitor transactions

There is a literature on interim contracting (where contracts are made after some information is revealed)

If 1] and 2] hold, then the welfare theorems hold.

Lotteries and IC constraints

* \mathcal{X} [space of type-contingent net trades
 restricted to the set of feasible net trades (i.e.
 ones that satisfy IC constraints.)

Two problems: \mathcal{X} may not be convex.



* Spot contracts fix the convexity issues.

* They also weaken ICs:

$$E_2 [\tilde{u}_1(\omega_1(2) + x_1(2)) + \tilde{u}_2(\omega_2 + x_2(2))] \geq E_1 [\tilde{u}_1(\omega_1(2) + x_1(1)) + \tilde{u}_2(\omega_2 + x_2(1))]$$

I types $i=1, \dots, I$ of households
 $h \in H^i = [0, 1]$ individual households

J goods $j=1, \dots, J$

sunspot variable $\sigma \sim \text{unif}[0, 1]$, S^i states

$x_j^i(s, \sigma, h) \in \mathbb{R}$ amt of good j delivered to household h of type i when state is s and sunspot state is σ .