

Problem set will be assigned today:
 To obtain .tex files, e-mail katerina

$$\underline{Y} = X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (X_1' M_2 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_2 \underline{Y}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 | X_1, X_2) = (X_1' M_2 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_2 \text{Var}(\underline{Y} | X_1, X_2) M_2 X_1 (X_1' M_2 X_1)^{-1} \\ = \sigma^2 (X_1' M_2 X_1)^{-1}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 | X_1, X_2) \uparrow \text{ as } \sigma^2 \uparrow$$

$$\uparrow \text{ as } \underbrace{X_1' M_2 X_1}_{\downarrow}$$

sum of squared residuals from
 regression of X_1 on X_2

How do we estimate this? We do not know σ^2 .

$$\sigma^2 = E[\varepsilon_i^2]$$

A reasonable estimator is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2$, but we do

not observe ε_i 's. Thus, we can use $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \hat{\varepsilon}' \hat{\varepsilon}$$

$$\text{Recall: } \hat{\varepsilon} = M \underline{Y} = M X (\beta + \varepsilon) = \underbrace{M X \beta}_{=0} + M \varepsilon = M \varepsilon$$

$$E[\hat{\varepsilon}' \hat{\varepsilon}] = E[\varepsilon' M' M \varepsilon] = E[\varepsilon' M \varepsilon] = E[\text{tr}(\varepsilon' M \varepsilon)]$$

$$= E[\text{tr}(M \varepsilon \varepsilon')] = \text{tr}(E[M \varepsilon \varepsilon']) = \text{tr}(M E[\varepsilon \varepsilon'])$$

$$= \text{tr}(M \sigma^2 I) = \text{tr}(\sigma^2 M) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(M) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')$$

$$= \sigma^2 (n - \text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X')) = \sigma^2 (n - \text{tr}(X'X(X'X)^{-1}))$$

$$= \sigma^2 (n - \text{tr}(I_k)) = \sigma^2 (n - k) \Rightarrow E[\hat{\varepsilon}' \hat{\varepsilon}] = E[\sigma^2 (n - k) | X] = \sigma^2 (n - k)$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 (n - k) \neq \sigma^2$$

This shows that $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}$ is unbiased.

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta} | \mathcal{X}) = \hat{\sigma}^2 (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1} \text{ is a random variable}$$

$$E[\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta} | \mathcal{X}) | \mathcal{X}] = E[\hat{\sigma}^2 (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1} | \mathcal{X}] = (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1} E[\hat{\sigma}^2 | \mathcal{X}]$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1}$$

Prediction:

Given \mathcal{X}_0 , what is our best guess for \mathcal{Y}_0 ?

If $\mathcal{Y} \sim f_{\mathcal{Y}}$, then our best "guess" is the $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ satisfying

$$\underset{\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0}{\text{argmin}} E[(\mathcal{Y}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0)^2] = E[\mathcal{Y}_0]$$

$$\widehat{E}(\mathcal{Y}_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Y}_i$$

$$\underset{\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0}{\text{argmin}} E[|\mathcal{Y}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0|] = \text{med}(\mathcal{Y}_0)$$

More complicated prediction problem:

$$\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} \sim f_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}}$$

$$\underset{h(\mathcal{X}_0)}{\text{argmin}} E[(\mathcal{Y}_0 - h(\mathcal{X}_0))^2] = \underbrace{E[\mathcal{Y}_0 | \mathcal{X}_0]}_{\text{Best predictor}} \quad (\text{functional analysis problem})$$

In the OLS world: $E[\mathcal{Y}_0 | \mathcal{X}_0] = \mathcal{X}_0 \beta$. Usually, we do not know this. We estimate it with $\widehat{E}[\mathcal{Y}_0 | \mathcal{X}_0] = \mathcal{X}_0 \hat{\beta}$.

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0 = \widehat{E}[\mathcal{Y}_0 | \mathcal{X}_0] = \mathcal{X}_0 \hat{\beta}$$

This justifies why this is a good predictor.

$$E[\mathcal{Y}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0 | \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0] = \mathcal{X}_0 \beta - \mathcal{X}_0 \beta = 0$$

↳ On average, the prediction error is zero

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\mathcal{Y}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0 | \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0) &= \text{Var}(\mathcal{Y}_0 | \mathcal{X}) + \text{Var}(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0 | \mathcal{X}) - 2 \underbrace{\text{Cov}(\mathcal{Y}_0, \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0 | \mathcal{X})}_{=0 \text{ by independence assumption}} \\ &= \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \mathcal{X}_0 (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1} \mathcal{X}_0' \\ &= \sigma^2 (1 + \mathcal{X}_0 (\mathcal{X}'\mathcal{X})^{-1} \mathcal{X}_0') > \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

The additional uncertainty is due to the fact that we have to estimate β .

Lecture 4: Classical Normal Linear Regression Model

Assumptions: Same as before and.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Y} | \mathbb{X} \sim N_n(\mathbb{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_n) &\iff \begin{cases} \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}\beta + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon | \mathbb{X} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_n) \Rightarrow \varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_n) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This imposes independence between regressors and errors.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} | \mathbb{X} &= (\mathbb{X}'\mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}'\mathbb{Y} | \mathbb{X} = A\mathbb{Y} | \mathbb{X} \sim N_k(A\mathbb{X}\beta, A\sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_n A') \\ &= N_k(\beta, \sigma^2 A A') \\ &= N_k(\beta, \sigma^2 (\mathbb{X}'\mathbb{X})^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\beta}$ is linear in \mathbb{Y} and $\mathbb{Y} | \mathbb{X}$ is normal.

This implies that any linear transformation of $\hat{\beta}$ is normal.

Let Γ be $p \times k$ and fixed.

$$\Gamma \hat{\beta} | \mathbb{X} \sim N_p(\Gamma\beta, \sigma^2 \Gamma (\mathbb{X}'\mathbb{X})^{-1} \Gamma')$$

For example, let $\Gamma = [0 \dots \underset{j^{\text{th}}}{1} \dots 0]$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma \hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, [\sigma^2 (\mathbb{X}'\mathbb{X})^{-1}]_{jj})$$

Normalizing:

$$Q \sim N_p(\mu, \Omega)$$

Since $\Omega' = \Omega$

$$\text{eg. } C = \Lambda^{-1/2} H'$$

and Ω is PD, $\exists C: C'C = \Omega^{-1}$

where Λ is diagonal with eigs

H' is matrix of eivrs

$$\text{Call } C = \Omega^{-1/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \Omega^{-1/2}(Q - \mu) \sim N_p(0, I_p)$$

$$\Rightarrow W = Z'Z \sim \chi^2(p) \text{ since } Z_i \sim N(0, 1) \forall i=1, \dots, p$$

====

$$\Gamma \hat{\beta} | X \sim N_p(\Gamma \beta, \sigma^2 \Gamma (\Sigma' \Sigma)^{-1} \Gamma')$$

$$Z = (\sigma^2 \Gamma (\Sigma' \Sigma)^{-1} \Gamma')^{-1/2} (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow Z | X \sim N_p(0, I_p) \Rightarrow Z \sim N(0, I_p)$$

$$W = Z'Z = (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)' (\sigma^2 \Gamma (\Sigma' \Sigma)^{-1} \Gamma')^{-1} (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow W | X \sim \chi^2(p) \Rightarrow W \sim \chi^2(p)$$

not a fcn of X

====

$$\text{For } p=1, \quad t = [\hat{\sigma}^2 \Gamma (\Sigma' \Sigma)^{-1} \Gamma']^{-1/2} (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow t | X \sim t(n-k) \Rightarrow t \sim t(n-k)$$

$$\text{For } p > 1, \quad F = \frac{1}{p} (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)' (\hat{\sigma}^2 \Gamma (\Sigma' \Sigma)^{-1} \Gamma')^{-1} (\Gamma \hat{\beta} - \Gamma \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow F | X \sim F(p, n-k) \Rightarrow F \sim F(p, n-k)$$

$$n-k \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow t(n-k) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{For } n-k \rightarrow \infty, \quad p F(p, n-k) \rightarrow \chi^2(p)$$