

5.6.12 Prove that the metrics ρ_1 , ρ_{\max} , and ρ_2 defined in Example 3 are uniformly equivalent.

Recall: $\rho_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \equiv |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$

$$\rho_2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \equiv \sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2}$$

$$\rho_{\max}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \equiv \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|\}$$

Lemma 5.6.12:

Uniform equivalence is an equivalence relation.

Proof of lemma 5.6.12:

Take ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_2 metrics on M .

i) ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1 :

Let $c_1 = c_2 = 1 \Rightarrow 1\rho_1(x, y) \leq \rho_1(x, y) \leq 1\rho_1(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \rho_1(x, y) = \rho_1(x, y)$, which is always true.

ii) If ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 , then ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 :

ρ_1 uniformly equivalent to $\rho_2 \Rightarrow \exists c_1, c_2 > 0 \ni c_1\rho_1(x, y) \leq \rho_2(x, y) \leq c_2\rho_1(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$.

Take $c_3 = \frac{1}{c_2}$ and $c_4 = \frac{1}{c_1}$.

Then $c_3\rho_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{c_2}\rho_2(x, y) \leq \frac{c_2}{c_2}\rho_1(x, y) = \rho_1(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$ and

$$c_4\rho_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{c_1}\rho_2(x, y) \geq \frac{c_1}{c_1}\rho_1(x, y) = \rho_1(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$$

$\Rightarrow c_3\rho_2(x, y) \leq \rho_1(x, y) \leq c_4\rho_2(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$. i.e. ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1 .

iii) If ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 and ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_3 , then ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_3 .

ρ_1 uniformly equivalent to $\rho_2 \Rightarrow \exists c_1, c_2 > 0 \ni c_1\rho_1(x, y) \leq \rho_2(x, y) \leq c_2\rho_1(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$.

ρ_2 uniformly equivalent to $\rho_3 \Rightarrow \exists c_3, c_4 > 0 \ni c_3\rho_2(x, y) \leq \rho_3(x, y) \leq c_4\rho_2(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$.

Take $c_5 = c_1c_3 \Rightarrow c_5\rho_1(x, y) = c_1c_3\rho_1(x, y) \leq c_3\rho_2(x, y) \leq \rho_3(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$.

Take $c_6 = c_2c_4 \Rightarrow c_6\rho_1(x, y) = c_2c_4\rho_1(x, y) \geq c_4\rho_2(x, y) \geq \rho_3(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$.

$\Rightarrow c_5\rho_1(x, y) \leq \rho_3(x, y) \leq c_6\rho_1(x, y) \forall x, y \in M$. That is, ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_3 . Q.E.D.

Proof of exercise 5.6.12: ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1 .

Take $x, y \in M$ arbitrary. Then we have:

$$(\rho_2(x, y))^2 = |x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2 \leq |x_1 - x_2|^2 + 2|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| + |y_1 - y_2|^2$$

$$= (|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|)^2 = (\rho_1(x, y))^2$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_2(x, y) \leq \rho_1(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_1 = 1$.

Next, we have:

$$(\sqrt{2}\rho_2(x, y))^2 = 2|x_1 - x_2|^2 + 2|y_1 - y_2|^2$$

$$= |x_1 - x_2|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2$$

$$\geq |x_1 - x_2|^2 + 2|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| + |y_1 - y_2|^2 \text{ (by exercise 1.1.8)}$$

$$= (|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|)^2 = (\rho_1(x, y))^2$$

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{2}\rho_2(x, y) \geq \rho_1(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_2 = \sqrt{2}$.

$\Rightarrow \rho_2(x, y) \leq \rho_1(x, y) \leq \sqrt{2}\rho_2(x, y)$. Since $x, y \in M$ was arbitrary, this holds for all x, y and we have that ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1 .

Proof of exercise 5.6.12: ρ_{\max} is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 .

Take $x, y \in M$ arbitrary. Then we have:

$$\rho_2(x, y) = \sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2} \geq \sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2} = |x_1 - x_2| \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_2(x, y) = \sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2} \geq \sqrt{|y_1 - y_2|^2} = |y_1 - y_2|$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_2(x, y) \geq \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|\} = \rho_{\max}(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_1 = 1$.

Next, we have:

Without loss of generality, assume $|x_1 - x_2| \geq |y_1 - y_2|$. (An identical argument holds for the case where $|y_1 - y_2| \geq |x_1 - x_2|$.)

$$\Rightarrow (\sqrt{2}\rho_{\max}(x, y))^2 = (\max\{\sqrt{2}|x_1 - x_2|, \sqrt{2}|y_1 - y_2|\})^2$$

$$\geq 2|x_1 - x_2|^2 = |x_1 - x_2|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^2 \geq |x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2 = (\rho_2(x, y))^2$$

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{2}\rho_{\max}(x, y) \geq \rho_2(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_2 = \sqrt{2}$.

$\Rightarrow \rho_{\max}(x, y) \leq \rho_2(x, y) \leq \sqrt{2}\rho_{\max}(x, y)$. Since $x, y \in M$ was arbitrary, this holds for all x, y and we have that ρ_{\max} is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 .

Proof of exercise 5.6.12: ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_{\max} .

Take $x, y \in M$ arbitrary. Then we have:

$$\rho_1(x, y) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| \geq |x_1 - x_2| \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_1(x, y) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| \geq |y_1 - y_2|$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_1(x, y) \geq \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|\} = \rho_{\max}(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_1 = 1$.

Without loss of generality, assume $|x_1 - x_2| \geq |y_1 - y_2|$. (An identical argument holds for the case where $|y_1 - y_2| \geq |x_1 - x_2|$.)

$$\Rightarrow 2\rho_{\max}(x, y) = \max\{2|x_1 - x_2|, 2|y_1 - y_2|\} \geq 2|x_1 - x_2| \geq |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$$

$= \rho_1(x, y)$. Thus, we can take $c_2 = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow \rho_{\max}(x, y) \leq \rho_1(x, y) \leq 2\rho_{\max}(x, y)$. Since $x, y \in M$ was arbitrary, this holds for all x, y and we have that ρ_{\max} is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1 .

Conclusion of proof:

By lemma 5.6.12, uniform equivalence is an equivalence relation. Thus, we have:

ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2

ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1

ρ_1 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_{\max}

ρ_{\max} is uniformly equivalent to ρ_1

ρ_2 is uniformly equivalent to ρ_{\max}

ρ_{\max} is uniformly equivalent to ρ_2 . Q.E.D.