

Quantitative Methods Comprehensive Examination

Comp 2003 Spring, Part I

Jinyoung Lee / Hisayuki Yoshimoto

Department of Economics, UCLA

Jan. 31st 2006

1 Question 1

Suppose that $X \sim N(\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)'$. Compute the Fisher Information for θ .

1.1 Method

There are two methods for calculating multi-parameter Fisher Information: (i) by calculating score (ii) by taking partial derivatives twice. Even though (i) is analytically important, it takes some time. Thus, I use method (ii). Note that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

$$I(\theta) = E[s(X; \theta)s(X; \theta)'] = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right] = -E \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial (\theta_1)^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_2 \partial \theta_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial (\theta_2)^2} \end{array} \right]$$

1.2 Solution

Since X is normal

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right].$$

Replacing (μ, σ^2) by (θ_1, θ_2) ,

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{\theta_2}\right].$$

Note that $E(X) = \theta_1$ and $E[(X - \theta_1)^2] = \theta_2$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) &= \log\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{\theta_2}\right]\right\} \\ &= \log 1 - \log\{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_2}\} + \log\{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{\theta_2}\right]\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\theta_2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{\theta_2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log \theta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{\theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating second derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_1} &= \frac{(x - \theta_1)}{\theta_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial (\theta_1)^2} &= -\frac{1}{\theta_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} &= -\frac{(x - \theta_1)}{(\theta_2)^2} \\ \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\theta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{(\theta_2)^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial (\theta_2)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} - \frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{(\theta_2)^3} \end{aligned}$$

Then the Fisher information matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\theta) &= -E \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial(\theta_1)^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial\theta_1 \partial\theta_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial\theta_2 \partial\theta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial(\theta_2)^2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= -E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\theta_2} & -\frac{(X-\theta_1)}{(\theta_2)^2} \\ -\frac{(X-\theta_1)}{(\theta_2)^2} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} - \frac{(X-\theta_1)^2}{(\theta_2)^3} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\theta_2} & -\frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} E[(X-\theta_1)] \\ -\frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} E[(X-\theta_1)] & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^3} E[(X-\theta_1)^2] \end{bmatrix} \\
&= - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{Since } E(X) = \theta_1 \text{ and } E[(X-\theta_1)^2] = \theta_2) \\
&= - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^2} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

2 Question 2

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be *i.i.d.* with the following PDFs. In each case, find the asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)$.

- (1) $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ for $0 < x < 1$ and zero elsewhere.
- (2) $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta) \exp(-x/\theta)$ for $0 < x$ and zero elsewhere.

2.1 Solution

The density is given by

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta x^{\theta-1} & \text{for } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Taking log

$$\log f(x; \theta) = \log \theta x^{\theta-1} = \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \log x.$$

First and second derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\theta} + \log x, \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\theta^2}.$$

Then, the Fisher Information is

$$I(\theta) = -E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

Therefore, by the theorem

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right).$$

Now we have

$$\frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\theta^2}} = \theta^2.$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2).$$

(2)

The density is given by

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} (1/\theta) \exp(-x/\theta) & \text{for } 0 < x \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Taking log

$$\log f(x; \theta) = \log[(1/\theta) \exp(-x/\theta)] = -\log \theta - \frac{x}{\theta}$$

First and second derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta^2}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{2x}{\theta^3}.$$

Then, the Fisher Information is

$$I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = -E\left[\frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{2X}{\theta^3}\right] = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2}{\theta^3}E[X]$$

Next, calculating $E[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \int_0^{\infty} x \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta}) dx \\ &= \left[-x \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta})\right]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta}) dx \\ &= \left[-\theta \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta})\right]_0^{\infty} \\ &= \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$I(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2}{\theta^3}E[X] = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2}{\theta^3}\theta = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

Therefore, by the theorem

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right).$$

Now we have

$$\frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\theta^2}} = \theta^2.$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2).$$

2.2 Theorem

(Hogg, Mckean, Craig P.325)

Assume X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with pdf $f(x; \theta_0)$ for $\theta \in \Omega$ such that the regularity conditions are satisfied. Suppose further that fisher information satisfies $0 < I(\theta_0) < \infty$. Then any consistent sequence of solutions of the mle equations satisfies

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right).$$

3 Question 3

Let X_1, \dots, X_{25} be i.i.d. $N(\mu, 1)$. We wish to test

$$\mathbf{H}_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

$$\mathbf{H}_1: \mu = \mu_1$$

for some $\mu_1 > \mu_0$. Derive the best test, i.e., the best critical region, at the 0.05 level.

3.1 Solution

Following Neyman-Pearson Theorem,

$$C \equiv \left\{ x : \frac{L(\mu_1; x)}{L(\mu_0; x)} \geq k \right\}$$

where k is chosen in such a way that $P[X \in C; H_0] = 0.05$.

$$L(\mu; x) = \prod_{i=1}^{25} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2} \right\} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{25} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{25} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(\mu_1; x)}{L(\mu_0; x)} &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{25} [(x_i - \mu_1)^2 - (x_i - \mu_0)^2] \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{25} [\mu_1^2 + \mu_0^2 - 2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)x_i] \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ (\mu_1 - \mu_0) \sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i - \frac{25}{2} (\mu_1^2 + \mu_0^2) \right\} \geq k \end{aligned}$$

Since this ratio is monotonically increasing in $\sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i$,

$$\frac{L(\mu_1; x)}{L(\mu_0; x)} \geq k \text{ iff } \sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i \geq c$$

where c is chosen such that $P \left[\sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i \geq c ; H_0 \right] = 0.05$.

Note that under H_0 , $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{1/\sqrt{25}} \sim N(0, 1)$ where $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{25} \sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i \geq c &\iff \bar{X} \geq \frac{c}{25} \iff \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{1/\sqrt{25}} \geq \frac{\frac{c}{25} - \mu_0}{1/\sqrt{25}} = 1.645 \\ \therefore c &= 25\mu_0 + 5 * 1.645 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{the best critical region is } C = \left\{ x : \sum_{i=1}^{25} x_i \geq 25\mu_0 + 5 * 1.645 \right\} \blacksquare$$

5 Question 4

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables such that $X_i \sim N(\mu, 1)$. We would like to test $H_0 : \mu = 0$ against $H_1 : \mu > 0$. A friend of yours suggested a testing strategy where the null hypothesis is rejected if and only if

$$S \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \times \frac{1}{2}$$

Here, $1(\cdot)$ is an indicator function such that

$$1(\mathbf{X}_i \geq 0) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_i \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (1) What is the exact probability of Type I error of this test when $n=4$?
- (2) What is the limit of the probability of Type I error as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

5.1 Solution

- (1) What is the exact probability of Type I error of this test when $n=4$?

$$\Pr[\text{Type I error}] = \Pr[\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_0] = \Pr[S \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \times \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0]$$

when $n = 4$,

$$S \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{4}} \times \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^4 1(X_i \geq 0) \geq 3.96$$

which implies $X_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

So the probability of the event " $X_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, i.i.d." is

$$\Pr(X_1 \geq 0 \mid H_0) \times \Pr(X_2 \geq 0 \mid H_0) \times \Pr(X_3 \geq 0 \mid H_0) \times \Pr(X_4 \geq 0 \mid H_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{16}$$

- (2) What is the limit of the probability of Type I error as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

$$\Pr[\text{Type I error}] = \Pr[\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_0] = \Pr[S \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \times \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0]$$

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \times \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \{1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2}\}}{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1.96 \mid H_0\right]$$

Let $Z_i = 1(X_i \geq 0) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_i \geq 0 \text{ with prob. } 0.5 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise with prob. } 0.5 \end{cases}$, that is i.i.d. bernoulli random variable.

$$E[Z_i] = \frac{1}{2} < \infty, \quad \text{Var}(Z_i) = \frac{1}{4} < \infty.$$

By Central Limit Theorem,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \{1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2}\}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Accordingly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Pr \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \{1(X_i \geq 0) - \frac{1}{2}\}}{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1.96 \mid H_0 \right] \rightarrow 0.025 .$$

cf. Actually, the standard test for this problem is

$$\frac{\bar{X} - 0}{1/\sqrt{n}} \geq 1.96.$$

where $\frac{\bar{X} - 0}{1/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$.

From the above, we can conclude that the a friend's test statistic is asymptotically the same as the standard one. ■