

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{iT} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}_i = \begin{bmatrix} X_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{iT} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y_i | (X_i, \alpha_i) \sim f(y | X_i, \alpha_i, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{FE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f(Y_i | X_i, \hat{\alpha}_i(\theta), \theta)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\alpha}_i(\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\alpha_i} \ln f(Y_i | X_i, \alpha_i, \theta)$$

Incidental parameters problem:

- only have T observations to estimate α_i , so as $n \rightarrow \infty$, T fixed, $\hat{\theta}_{FE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_T \neq \theta_0$.

Conditional MLE

There is a statistic $S_i = S(Y_i, X_i)$ such that

$$\bullet f(Y_i | X_i, \alpha_i, S_i, \theta) = f(Y_i | X_i, S_i, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{CMLE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f(Y_i | X_i, S_i, \theta)$$

- need something about minimal sufficiency to get efficiency.
- otherwise, it is CAN.

Count Data

$$\circ Y_{it} \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$$

$\circ Y_{it} | (\alpha_i, \Sigma_i)$ is independent over t and Poisson for each t with conditional mean

$$\text{EXP}\{\Sigma_{it}' \beta + \alpha_i\} = \lambda_{it}$$

$$\circ f(y_{it} | \Sigma_i, \alpha_i, \beta) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_{it}} \lambda_{it}^{y_{it}}}{y_{it}!}$$

Patents: Hausman, Hall, Griliches (EMA 1984)

$$\text{Take } S_i = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it}$$

recall: sum of indep. Poisson is Poisson

$$\text{Then } f(S_i | \Sigma_i, \alpha_i, \beta) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it}\right)^{S_i}}{S_i!}$$

Log of conditional likelihood:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \left[-\lambda_{it} + y_{it} \ln \lambda_{it} - \ln(y_{it}!) \right]$$

$$- \left[-\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it} + S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it}\right) - \ln(S_i!) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} \ln \lambda_{it} - S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it}\right) + C_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \circ \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} \ln \lambda_{it} &= \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} (\mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i S_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \circ S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it} \right) &= S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i \} \right) \\ &= S_i \ln \left(\exp \{ \alpha_i \} \sum_{t=1}^T \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} \right) \\ &= S_i \alpha_i + S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln f(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathbf{X}_i, S_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it} \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} - S_i \ln \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} \right) + C_i$$

$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{CMLE}}$ satisfies:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it} \mathbf{X}_{it} - S_i \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{X}_{it} \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \}}{\sum_{t=1}^T \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \}} \right]$$

◦ FOCs are linear in Y_{it}

Consistency of CMLE only depends on the conditional mean assumption: $E[Y_{it} | \mathbf{X}_i, \alpha_i] = \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i \}$
(Wooldridge)

e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \circ E \left[\exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} y_{it} - y_{it-1} \exp \{ \mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} | \mathbf{X}_i, \alpha_i \right] &= 0 \\ \circ E \left[\exp \{ -\mathbf{X}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 \} y_{it} - \exp \{ -\mathbf{X}_{it-1}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 \} y_{it-1} | \mathbf{X}_i, \alpha_i \right] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \exp\{-\sum_{it} \beta_0\} \exp\{\sum_{it} \beta_0 \alpha_i\}$$

$$- \exp\{-\sum_{it-1} \beta_0\} \exp\{\sum_{it-1} \beta_0 \alpha_i\}$$

$$= e^{\alpha_i} - e^{\alpha_i} = 0$$

• i.e. multiplicative fixed effects are okay.

Correlated Random Effects

• model for $\alpha_i | X_i$: $g(\alpha | X, \gamma)$ • this is how individual effect is determined by X .

$$f(y | X, \beta, \gamma) = \int \underbrace{f(y | X, \alpha, \beta)}_{\text{specify this}} \underbrace{g(\alpha | X, \gamma)}_{\text{this is the unknown}} d\alpha$$

identified from data

• This is sensitive to the specification of $g(\alpha | X, \gamma)$

• Not logit, bounded X_{it} 's, full time dummies, $T=2$, β_0 is not identified.

Large T bias correction:

$$\Pr[X_{it}=1 | X_i, \alpha_i] = \Phi(\sum_{it} \beta_0 + \alpha_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \int \Phi(\sum \beta_0 + \alpha) \underbrace{f(\alpha)}_{\text{marginal distribution}} d\alpha$$

• marginal effect

$T=3$ or 4 , get small biases in FE estimator of this

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(\sum \beta_{FE}^1 + \alpha_i)$$

Recall, $\hat{\theta}_{FE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_T \neq \theta_0$

$$\theta_T = \theta_0 + \frac{B}{T} + \frac{D}{T^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{T^2}\right)$$

Bias corrections for large T

• allow T to grow with n.

$$\sqrt{nT} (\hat{\theta}_{FE} - \theta_T) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Omega)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{FE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f(Y_i | X_i, \hat{\alpha}_i(\theta), \theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\theta}_{FE} - \theta_0) &= \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\theta}_{FE} - \theta_T) + \sqrt{nT} (\theta_T - \theta_0) \\ &= \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\theta}_{FE} - \theta_T) + \sqrt{nT} \left(\frac{B}{T} + \frac{D}{T^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{T^2}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sqrt{nT} (\theta_T - \theta_0) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{T}} B + \sqrt{\frac{n}{T^3}} D + o\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{T^3}}\right)$$

$$\text{If } \sqrt{\frac{n}{T}} \rightarrow \rho, \quad \sqrt{nT} (\theta_T - \theta_0) = \rho B$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\theta}_{FE} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(\rho B, \Omega)$$

$$\text{Take } \hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_{FE} - \frac{\hat{B}}{T}$$

Next time, we will discuss how to estimate B.