

Econ 203C: Systems Models

Practice Questions

Michael Powell

Department of Economics, UCLA

June 10th, 2006

Question 1:

Suppose that you are given the random sample y_1, \dots, y_n from a distribution whose density function is given by

$$f(y) = \frac{2}{\theta^2} (\theta - y), \text{ for } 0 < y < \theta.$$

(1) Provide the MLE for θ , say $\hat{\theta}_n$.

Solution: Here, we have that $f_{Y_i}(y_i) = \frac{2}{\theta^2} (\theta - y_i)$ for $0 < y_i < \theta$. The likelihood function is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\theta; \{Y_i\}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{Y_i}(Y_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{\theta^2} (\theta - Y_i) 1_{\{0 < Y_i < \theta\}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\theta^2}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (\theta - Y_i) 1_{\{0 < Y_i < \theta\}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking logs,

$$\ln L_n(\theta; \{Y_i\}) = n \log 2 - 2n \log \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\theta - Y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log 1_{\{0 < Y_i < \theta\}}$$

The first order conditions are thus

$$(\theta) : -\frac{2n}{\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - Y_i} = 0$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - Y_i} &= \frac{2n}{\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}} \\ \frac{n\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - Y_i)} &= \frac{2n}{\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}} \\ n(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML})^2 - \hat{\theta}_n^{ML} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right) &= 2n \prod_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - Y_i) \end{aligned}$$

Solving explicitly for $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ requires finding the roots of an n^{th} degree polynomial which, for $n \geq 5$, is generically impossible by the Abel-Ruffini theorem, so I will just say that $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ is implicitly defined as a solution to the above equation.

(2) Provide the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\theta}_n$.

Solution: Recall that for an ML estimator,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, I_1(\theta_0)^{-1} \right)$$

Where

$$I_1(\theta_0) = E \left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_{Y_i}(Y_i)}{\partial \theta^2} \right]$$

Taking these derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln f_{Y_i}(Y_i)}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{2}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta - Y_i} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln f_{Y_i}(Y_i)}{\partial \theta^2} &= \frac{2}{\theta^2} - \frac{1}{(\theta - Y_i)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Which gives us

$$I_1(\theta_0) = E \left[\frac{1}{(\theta_0 - Y_i)^2} - \frac{2}{\theta_0^2} \right]$$

Remark 1 *It can be shown that this expectation does not exist.*

Thus, the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\theta}_n$ is given by

$$I_1(\theta_0)^{-1} = \frac{1}{E \left[\frac{1}{(\theta_0 - Y_i)^2} - \frac{2}{\theta_0^2} \right]}$$

(3) Is the estimator in (1) an unbiased estimator for θ ? Justify your answer.

Solution:

(4) Provide a test statistic for testing the null hypothesis $H_0 : \theta^2 = 1$ against the hypothesis $H_1 : \theta^2 < 1$.

Question 2:

Consider the following two equations:

$$E[y_i | x_i] = x_i' \beta \quad (3)$$

$$E^*[y_i | x_i] = x_i' \beta^\ell, \quad (4)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that equation (3) states that the true conditional expectation of y_i , conditional on x_i , is linear, while equation (4) characterizes the linear *predictor* of y_i conditional on x_i . Let $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta}^\ell$, be the least-squares estimators of β and β^ℓ , respectively. Define

$$\alpha_n = \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_n^\ell = \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}^\ell - \beta^\ell).$$

- (1) Derive the asymptotic distribution of α_n .
- (2) Derive the asymptotic distribution of α_n^ℓ .
- (3) Under what conditions will α_n and α_n^ℓ have the same asymptotic distribution?

Question 3:

Consider the linear model

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + u_i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \sigma(x_i) \varepsilon_i, \\ \sigma(x_i) &= 1 + x_i' \gamma, \text{ and} \\ \varepsilon_i | x_i &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

(1) Provide an estimator for β and show that the suggested estimator is consistent.

Solution: Let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} &= (X'X)^{-1} X'Y \\ &= (X'X)^{-1} X'(X\beta + u) \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'u \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i u_i \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the data are i.i.d. and that $E[|X_i X_i'|] < +\infty$, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \xrightarrow{p} E[X_i X_i']$. By the continuity theorem, $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} E[X_i X_i']^{-1}$ (assuming $E[X_i X_i']$ is nonsingular). Next, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i u_i \xrightarrow{p} E[X_i u_i] = E[E[X_i u_i | X_i]] = E[X_i E[u_i | X_i]] = 0$ since

$$E[u_i | X_i] = E[\sigma(X_i) \varepsilon_i | X_i] = \sigma(X_i) E[\varepsilon_i | X_i] = 0$$

Therefore, by Slutsky's theorem, we have that

$$\hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$$

(2) Show that the estimator suggested in (1) is asymptotically normal, and provide its asymptotic covariance matrix.

Solution: Rearranging the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} - \beta &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i u_i \\ \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} - \beta \right) &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \right)^{-1}}_{(1)} \underbrace{\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i u_i \right)}_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

By the argument above, (1) $\xrightarrow{p} E[X_i X_i']^{-1}$. Next, since the data are i.i.d., and assuming that $\text{Var}(X_i u_i) = E[(X_i u_i)(X_i u_i)'] = E[X_i X_i' u_i^2] < +\infty$, we have by the central limit theorem

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i u_i \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, E[X_i X_i' u_i^2])$$

Therefore, by Slutsky's theorem we have that

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} - \beta \right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, E[X_i X_i']^{-1} E[X_i X_i' u_i^2] E[X_i X_i']^{-1}\right)$$

(3) Discuss whether or not one can estimate γ . If your answer is yes provide the details of the proposed estimator. If the answer is no discuss in detail why not.

Question 4:

Suppose we have a model of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \alpha_0 \exp \{x_i' \beta_0\} + \varepsilon_i, \text{ where} \\ E[\varepsilon_i | x_i] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where β_0 is a $K \times 1$ vector of parameters and α_0 is a scalar parameter.

(1) Under what condition is α_0 identified?

Solution: We can rewrite the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \alpha_0 \exp \{x_i' \beta_0\} + \varepsilon_i \\ &= \exp \{\ln \alpha_0\} \exp \{x_i' \beta_0\} + \varepsilon_i \\ &= \exp \{\ln \alpha_0 + x_i' \beta_0\} + \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

And therefore, as long as X_i

(2) Assume that the condition(s) in (1) is (are) satisfied. Suggest a $K \times 1$ moment function $\varphi_1(y_i, x_i, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$E[\varphi_1(y_i, x_i, \alpha_0, \beta_0)] = 0.$$

(3) Suggest an additional $K \times 1$ moment function $\varphi_2(y_i, x_i, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$E[\varphi_2(y_i, x_i, \alpha_0, \beta_0)] = 0.$$

(4) Show how to combine the moment functions in (2) and (3) to get the most efficient estimators for $\theta_0 = (\alpha_0, \beta_0)'$, say $\hat{\theta}_n$.

(5) Provide the asymptotic distribution function for the estimator for $\hat{\theta}_n$ obtained in (4).

(6) Suggest a consistent estimator for the asymptotic covariance matrix derived in (5).

Question 5:

Consider the two equation model given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= x_{1i}' \beta_1 + u_{1i}, \\ y_{2i} &= x_{2i}' \beta_2 + u_{2i}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_{1i} and x_{2i} are $K_1 \times 1$ and $K_2 \times 1$ vectors of regressors, respectively, β_1 and β_2 are the corresponding unknown vectors of parameters. Let $u_i = (u_{1i}, u_{2i})'$, and assume that

$$u_i | x_{1i}, x_{2i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(0, \Sigma_u).$$

(1) Describe how to obtain the most efficient estimates for β_1 and β_2 .

(2) Provide a consistent estimator for Σ_u .

(3) Suppose that $Cov(u_{1i}, u_{2i}) = 0$. How would it change the answer in (1)?

(4) Suppose that $x_{1i} = x_{2i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. How would it change the answer in (1)?

Question 6:

Consider the two equation model given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= \gamma_1 y_{2i} + x_{1i}' \beta_1 + u_{1i}, \\ y_{2i} &= \gamma_2 y_{1i} + x_{2i}' \beta_2 + u_{2i}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_{1i} and x_{2i} are $K_1 \times 1$ and $K_2 \times 1$ vectors of regressors, respectively, β_1 and β_2 are the corresponding unknown vectors of parameters, and γ_1 and γ_2 are two scalar parameters. Let $u_i = (u_{1i}, u_{2i})'$, and assume that

$$u_i | x_{1i}, x_{2i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(0, \Sigma_u).$$

(1) Describe in detail the conditions under which the model's parameters are identified.

(2) Assume the conditions in (1) are satisfied. Provide the most efficient estimators for $\theta_1 = (\gamma_1, \beta_1)'$, say $\hat{\theta}_1$.

(3) Derive the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\theta}_1$.

(4) Suggest a test for the hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ against $H_1 : \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$.

Question 7:

Consider the binary discrete choice model given by

$$\Pr [y_i = 0 | x_i] = \frac{\exp \{x_i' \gamma\}}{1 + \exp \{x_i' \gamma\}},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(1) Provide the MLE for γ , say $\hat{\gamma}_n$.

Solution: Define

$$\Lambda (X_i' \gamma) = \frac{\exp \{X_i' \gamma\}}{1 + \exp \{X_i' \gamma\}}$$

Then

$$L_n (\gamma; \{X_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda (X_i' \gamma)^{1-Y_i} [1 - \Lambda (X_i' \gamma)]^{Y_i}$$

Taking logs,

$$\ln L_n (\gamma; \{X_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^n [(1 - Y_i) \ln \Lambda (X_i' \gamma) + Y_i \ln [1 - \Lambda (X_i' \gamma)]]$$

Taking first order conditions, we have that $\hat{\gamma}_n$ solves

$$(\gamma) : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - Y_i}{\Lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n)} \lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n) X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i}{1 - \Lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n)} \lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n) X_i = 0$$

Where

$$\lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n} \Lambda (X_i' \hat{\gamma}_n)$$

Is the pdf of a logistic distribution.

(2) Show that the MLE can be considered as an MM estimator.

Solution:

(3) Compute the asymptotic covariance for $\hat{\gamma}_n$ and provide a consistent estimator for the asymptotic covariance. Justify your answer.

Solution:

Question 8:

Consider the linear model

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x_i' \beta + u_i, \text{ where} \\ E[u_i | x_i] &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where x_i is a $K \times 1$ vector of regressors. Suppose that there exist a vector of random variables z_i such that

$$E[u_i | z_i] = 0,$$

where z_i is an $M \times 1$ vector, with $M > K$.

(1) Show that a least-squares regression of y_i on x_i will yield an inconsistent estimator for β .

Solution: Since $E[u_i | x_i] \neq 0$, it follows that $E[x_i u_i] \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} &= (X'X)^{-1} X'Y = (X'X)^{-1} X'(X\beta + u) \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'u \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i u_i \end{aligned}$$

By the weak law of large numbers, Slutsky's theorem, and the Mann Wald theorem, we have that

$$\hat{\beta}_n^{OLS} \xrightarrow{p} \beta + E[x_i x_i']^{-1} E[x_i u_i] \neq \beta$$

That is, $\hat{\beta}_n^{OLS}$ is inconsistent.

(2) Suggest an instrumental variable estimator for β using the entire vector of instruments z_i .

Solution: Let Π be $k \times \ell$ with $\Pi \xrightarrow{p} \Pi_0$, which is nonstochastic. Then

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV}(\Pi) = (\Pi Z' X)^{-1} \Pi Z' Y$$

Is an instrumental variable estimator for β . In particular, if we let $\Pi = X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{IV} \left(X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1} \right) &= \left(X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \right)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1} Z'Y \\ &\equiv \left(\hat{X}'\hat{X} \right)^{-1} \hat{X}'Y \end{aligned}$$

Is nothing but $\hat{\beta}_{2SLS}$.

(3) Show that the estimator suggested in (2) can be viewed as a GMM estimator.

Solution: Since

$$0 = E[u_i | Z_i] = E[Y_i - X_i' \beta | Z_i]$$

Multiplying both sides by Z_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Z_i E[Y_i - X_i' \beta | Z_i] \\ &= E[Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \beta) | Z_i] \\ &= E[Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \beta)] \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi(\beta) = Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \beta)$. Then if we let $m_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \beta)$, we can define our GMM estimator by letting $V_n^{-1} = (Z'Z)^{-1}$

$$\hat{\beta}_{GMM} = \arg \min m_n(\beta)' (Z'Z)^{-1} m_n(\beta)$$

Taking first order conditions,

$$(\beta') : 2 \frac{\partial m_n(\hat{\beta}_{GMM})}{\partial \beta} (Z'Z)^{-1} m_n(\hat{\beta}_{GMM}) = 0$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Z_i' (Z'Z)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \hat{\beta}_{GMM}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z' (Y - X \hat{\beta}_{GMM}) \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z' (Y - X \hat{\beta}_{GMM}) = 0$$

Rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'Y &= X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \hat{\beta}_{GMM} \\ X'P_Z Y &= X'P_Z X \hat{\beta}_{GMM} \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{GMM} &= (X'P_Z X)^{-1} X'P_Z Y \\ &= (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}'Y = \hat{\beta}_{2SLS} \end{aligned}$$

(4) Using the fact established in (3), provide the asymptotic distribution of the estimator for β .

Solution: Recall that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{GMM} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Lambda)$$

Where

$$\Lambda = (A(\beta) V^{-1}(\beta) A(\beta)')^{-1} A(\beta) V^{-1}(\beta) W(\beta) [V^{-1}(\beta)]' [A(\beta)]' (A(\beta) [V^{-1}(\beta)]' A(\beta)')^{-1}$$

$$A(\beta) = \frac{\partial m_0(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = E[Z_i X_i']$$

$$\begin{aligned} W(\beta) &= E[\varphi(\beta) \varphi(\beta)'] = E[Z_i Z_i' (Y_i - X_i' \beta) (Y_i - X_i' \beta)'] \\ &= E[Z_i Z_i' \varepsilon_i^2] \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have $V^{-1}(\beta) = E[Z_i Z_i']^{-1}$

(5) Provide a consistent estimator for the asymptotic covariance matrix established in (4). Justify your answer.

Solution: A consistent estimator for $A(\beta)$ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i'$. Also, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \varepsilon_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} E[Z_i Z_i' \varepsilon_i^2] = W(\beta)$$

Where $\varepsilon_i = (Y_i - X_i' \hat{\beta}_{GMM})$. Finally, since $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \xrightarrow{p} E[Z_i Z_i']$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}_n &= \left(\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right]' \right)^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \varepsilon_i^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right]' \left(\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i X_i' \right]' \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Is a consistent estimator of Λ by Slutsky's theorem, the Mann-Wald theorem, and the laws of large numbers.

Question 9:

Consider the system of equation model given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= \gamma_{12}y_{2i} + \gamma_{13}y_{3i} + x'_{1i}\beta_1 + u_{1i}, \\ y_{2i} &= \gamma_{23}y_{3i} + x'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_{2i}, \\ y_{3i} &= x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_{1i} , x_{2i} , and x_{3i} are $K_1 \times 1$, $K_2 \times 1$, and $K_3 \times 1$ vectors of exogenous regressors. Let $u_i = (u_{1i}, u_{2i}, u_{3i})'$ and assume that

$$u_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} D(0, \Sigma_u).$$

(1) Assume that $\Sigma_u = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2)$. Determine which of the above parameters is identified.

Solution: Since $\Sigma_u = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2)$, we have that $E[u_{ji}u_{ki}] = 0$ for $j \neq k$.

Equation 3: There are no endogeneity problems here, so each parameter can be identified.

Equation 2:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_{3i}u_{2i}] &= E[(x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i})u_{2i}] \\ &= E[u_{2i}x'_{3i}]\beta_3 + E[u_{3i}u_{2i}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is, y_{3i} is exogenous for equation 2. Therefore, we can identify γ_{23} as well as β_2 .

Equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_{3i}u_{1i}] &= E[(x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i})u_{1i}] \\ &= E[u_{1i}x'_{3i}]\beta_3 + E[u_{1i}u_{2i}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_{2i}u_{1i}] &= E[(\gamma_{23}y_{3i} + x'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_{2i})u_{1i}] \\ &= \gamma_{23}E[u_{1i}y_{3i}] + E[u_{1i}x'_{2i}]\beta_2 + E[u_{2i}u_{1i}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, both y_{2i} and y_{3i} are exogenous for equation 1. Therefore, we can identify γ_{12} , γ_{13} , and β_1 .

(2) How would your answer to (1) change if the off-diagonal terms of Σ_u are not zero? Explain briefly.

Solution: If the off-diagonal terms are not zero, we need to check the order conditions for each equation.

Let Z_i be a vector of unique exogenous regressors. (That is, Z_i contains all the elements of x_{1i} , all the elements of x_{2i} that are not elements of x_{1i} , and all the elements of x_{3i} that are not elements of x_{2i} or x_{1i} .)

$$\text{Let } Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z'_1 \\ \dots \\ Z'_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let ℓ_j be the number of elements

(3) Provide estimators for all the parameters that you determine in (1) to be identified.

Solution: Since there are no exogeneity problems here, we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= \gamma_{12}y_{2i} + \gamma_{13}y_{3i} + x'_{1i}\beta_1 + u_{1i} = W'_1\delta_1 + u_{1i} \\ y_{2i} &= \gamma_{23}y_{3i} + x'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_{2i} = W'_2\delta_2 + u_{2i} \\ y_{3i} &= x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i} = W'_3\delta_3 + u_{3i} \end{aligned}$$

And estimate using OLS

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_1^{OLS} &= (W'_1W_1)^{-1}W'_1Y_1 \\ \hat{\delta}_2^{OLS} &= (W'_2W_2)^{-1}W'_2Y_2 \\ \hat{\delta}_3^{OLS} &= (W'_3W_3)^{-1}W'_3Y_3 \end{aligned}$$

(4) Provide a consistent estimator for Σ_u .

Solution: Since $\Sigma_u = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_1^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \hat{u}'_1 \hat{u}_1 \\ \hat{\sigma}_2^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \hat{u}'_2 \hat{u}_2 \\ \hat{\sigma}_3^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \hat{u}'_3 \hat{u}_3\end{aligned}$$

Where $\hat{u}_j = Y_{ji} - W'_{ji} \delta_j$.

Question 10:

Let the system of equation model be given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= \gamma_{12}y_{2i} + x'_{1i}\beta_1 + u_{1i}, \\ y_{2i} &= \gamma_{23}y_{3i} + x'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_{2i}, \\ y_{3i} &= \gamma_{31}y_{1i} + x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_{1i}, x_{2i} , and x_{3i} are $K_1 \times 1, K_2 \times 1$, and $K_3 \times 1$, vectors of exogenous regressors.

(1) Express this system in the concise form

$$y'_i\Gamma = x'_iB + u'_i, \quad (1)$$

where y_i is a $J \times 1$ vector, Γ is a $J \times J$ matrix of coefficients, and B is a $K \times J$ matrix of coefficients.

Solution: Rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} - \gamma_{12}y_{2i} &= x'_{1i}\beta_1 + u_{1i}, \\ y_{2i} - \gamma_{23}y_{3i} &= x'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_{2i}, \\ -\gamma_{31}y_{1i} + y_{3i} &= x'_{3i}\beta_3 + u_{3i}, \end{aligned}$$

Or, if we assume that x_{1i}, x_{2i} , and x_{3i} have no elements in common,

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1i} & y_{2i} & y_{3i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\gamma_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma_{23} \\ -\gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_{1i} & x'_{2i} & x'_{3i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{1i} & u_{2i} & u_{3i} \end{bmatrix}$$

(2) Verify whether or not the order condition for identification holds for the above system of equations.

Solution: Stacking the above equations, we have

$$Y\Gamma = XB + E$$

Rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= Y - Y\Gamma + XB + E \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} Y & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - \Gamma \\ B \end{bmatrix} + E \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} Y & X \end{bmatrix} A + E \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I - \Gamma \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{23} \\ \gamma_{31} & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let R_j be the number of restrictions in the j th column of A . Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= 2 + K_2 + K_3 \\ R_2 &= 2 + K_1 + K_3 \\ R_3 &= 2 + K_1 + K_2 \end{aligned}$$

If $R_1 \geq 3$, we have identification of the first equation. That is, if

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + K_2 + K_3 &\geq 3 \\ K_2 + K_3 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $R_2 \geq 3$, we have identification of the second equation. This occurs if

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + K_1 + K_3 &\geq 3 \\ K_1 + K_3 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $R_3 \geq 3$, we have identification of the third equation.

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + K_1 + K_2 &\geq 3 \\ K_1 + K_2 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(3) Provide the reduced-form of the model and explain how to estimate efficiently the reduced form parameters.

Solution: If we postmultiply both sides of (1) by Γ^{-1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_i \Gamma^{-1} &= x_i' B \Gamma^{-1} + u_i' \Gamma^{-1} \\ y_i &= x_i' \Pi + u_i' \Gamma^{-1} \\ &= x_i' \Pi + \varepsilon_i' \end{aligned}$$

Here, since $E[x_i \varepsilon_i'] = 0$, we have that OLS will be consistent. Next, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varepsilon_i | X_i) &= V\left((\Gamma^{-1})' u_i | X_i\right) = (\Gamma^{-1})' V(u_i | X_i) \Gamma^{-1} \\ \Omega &= (\Gamma^{-1})' \Sigma \Gamma^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then if we estimate using GLS,

$$\hat{\Pi}_n^{GLS} = (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Omega^{-1} Y$$

we will have an efficient estimator for the reduced form parameters.

(4) Suggest a test for the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{23} = \gamma_{31}$, against $H_1 : \text{Not } H_0$.

Solution: Let

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1i} &= \gamma_{12} y_{2i} + x_{1i}' \beta_1 + u_{1i} \equiv W_{1i}' \delta_1 + u_{1i} \\ y_{2i} &= \gamma_{23} y_{3i} + x_{2i}' \beta_2 + u_{2i} \equiv W_{2i}' \delta_2 + u_{2i} \\ y_{3i} &= \gamma_{31} y_{1i} + x_{3i}' \beta_3 + u_{3i} \equiv W_{3i}' \delta_3 + u_{3i} \end{aligned}$$

Stacking this, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1i}' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W_{2i}' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & W_{3i}' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

$$\delta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{12} \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \delta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{23} \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \delta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{31} \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can then estimate this using 2SLS, since we have assumed that it is identified. That is, we can estimate

$$\hat{\delta}_n^{2SLS} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\delta}_1^{2SLS} \\ \hat{\delta}_2^{2SLS} \\ \hat{\delta}_3^{2SLS} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\hat{W}' \hat{W} \right)^{-1} \hat{W}' Y$$

Where

$$\hat{W} = \left(I \otimes X (X' X)^{-1} X' \right) W$$

The hypothesis we are asked to test is

$$H_0 : \Gamma B = 0$$

$$H_0 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0_{K_1 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_2 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_3 \times 1} \\ 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_1 \times 1} & 1 & 0_{K_2 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_3 \times 1} \\ 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_1 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{K_2 \times 1} & 1 & 0_{K_3 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{12} \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_{23} \\ \beta_2 \\ \gamma_{31} \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let A be the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix for $\hat{\delta}_n^{2SLS}$. Then we use the test statistic

$$W_0 = n \left(\Gamma \hat{\delta}_n^{2SLS} \right)' [\Gamma A \Gamma'] \left(\Gamma \hat{\delta}_n^{2SLS} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(3)$$