

Exam begins at 8:30 on Thursday.

Another practice exam will be posted.

- Consumption
- asset prices
- complete/incomplete markets. } until now

Now, investment with idiosyncratic shocks?

- Frictions to investment

- Interest rate is typically the marginal product of capital minus depreciation (physical) and economic depreciation

- Capital usually adjusts immediately

- What if we have convex adjustment costs?

ie easier to adjust capital slowly

- This gave us the Q-model of investment

◦ difference between replacement price and price of installed capital since costly installation.

- This predicts a smoother adjustment process

- At the micro level, investment is usually zero (plant level). Cluster of large investments.

- Once you install capital, it is hard to disinstall (irreversibility.)

- Can think of this as a more interesting theory of labor demand (irreversibility \leftrightarrow firing costs)

- Davis and Haltiwanger ('94) - employment evidence

- Chap 2 - Caballero book - investment evidence

User cost

Value w/ complete markets

net dividends.

$$\bullet \sum_{t, s^t} q_t^0(s^t) d_t(s^t)$$

price of machine

$$d_t(s^t) = \underbrace{\pi(k_t(s^{t-1}), s_t) - c(k_t(s^{t-1}), i_t(s^t), s_t)}_{B_t(s^t)} - p_t(s^t) i_t(s^t)$$

$$k_{t+1}(s^t) = (1-\delta)k_t(s^{t-1}) + i_t(s^t) \quad \text{cost of adjusting capital: } c_{ii} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d_t(s^t) = B_t(s^t) - p_t(k_{t+1}(s^t) - (1-\delta)k_t(s^{t-1}))$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Value: } \sum_{t, s^t} q_t^0(s^t) [B_t(s^t) - \underbrace{p_t(k_{t+1}(s^t) - (1-\delta)k_t(s^{t-1}))}_{\text{user cost: } v(s^t) \text{ \& } \text{rental rate}}]$$

If $p_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) = p_t(s^t)$, then

$$q_t^0(s^t) k_{t+1}(s^t) - \sum_{s^{t+1}} q_{t+1}^0(s^{t+1}) (1-\delta) k_{t+1}(s^t)$$

$$= q_t^0(s^t) k_{t+1}(s^t) \left(1 - \frac{1-\delta}{R_{t+1}(s^t)} \right), \quad R(s^t) = \frac{q_t^0(s^t)}{\sum_{s^{t+1}} q_{t+1}^0(s^{t+1})}$$

$$= \sum_{s^{t+1}} q_t^0(s^t) k_{t+1}(s^t) (R(s^t) - (1-\delta))$$

$$\Rightarrow v(s^t) = p_t(s^t) (R(s^t) - (1-\delta))$$

If $p_t(s^t) \neq p_{t+1}(s^t, s^{t+1}) = p_{t+1}(s^t, \tilde{s}^{t+1})$ (non-constant but predictable)

$$v(s^t) = p_t(s^t) q_t^0(s^t) k_{t+1}(s^t) - \sum_{s^{t+1}} p_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) q_{t+1}^0(s^{t+1}) (1-\delta) k_{t+1}(s^t)$$

$$= p_t(s^t) (R(s^t) - (1-\delta) \underbrace{\frac{p_{t+1}(s^{t+1})}{p_t(s^t)}}_{\text{economic depreciation}})$$

Economic depreciation: $(1 - \delta_e) = (1 - \frac{P_{t+1}(s^{t+1})}{P_t(s^t)}) = (\frac{P_t(s^t) - P_{t+1}(s^{t+1})}{P_t(s^t)})$

$\Rightarrow \delta_e = (1 - \frac{\Delta P_{t+1}(s^{t+1})}{P_t(s^t)})$

$\Rightarrow V(s^t) \equiv p_t(s^t) (R(s^t) - (1 - \delta)(1 - \delta_e))$

Thus,

$\frac{dV}{ds^t}(s^t) = \pi(k_t(s^{t-1}), s_t) - c(k_t(s^{t-1}), \tilde{\lambda}_t(s^t), s_t) - V(s_t)k_t(s^{t+1})$

Irreversibility:

Three periods: $t \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$

$t = -1$: invest k_0

$t = 0$: invest k_1

at $t = 0$: learn $A_1 \in \{A_H, A_L\}$ $Pr[A_H] = p$

irreversibility: $k_1 \geq k_0(1 - \delta)$

(cannot have less than depreciated capital - cannot sell capital.)

RN pricing: $q_t^0(s^t) = R^{-t} Pr[s^t]$

$v(s_t) = v \quad \forall s_t$

Firm: known productivity

$\max_{k_0} \{ A_0 F(k_0) - vk_0 + R^{-1} [p \cdot \max_{k_1 \geq k_0(1-\delta)} (A^H F(k_1) - vk_1) + (1-p) \cdot \max_{k_1 \geq k_0(1-\delta)} (A^L F(k_1) - vk_1)] \}$

Equivalently:

$\max_{k_0} A_0 F(k_0) - vk_0 + R^{-1} E[V(k_0, A_1)]$

where $V(k_0, A) = \max_{k_1 \geq k_0(1-\delta)} A F(k_1) - vk_1$

Unconstrained:

$$\max_k AF(k') - \nu k' \Rightarrow \overbrace{AF'(k^*(A))}^{\text{unconstrained optimum}} - \nu = 0$$

$$k' = \max \{ (1-\delta)k, k^* \}$$

$$\text{If } k_1^*(A_1) = k_0(1-\delta)$$

$$\Rightarrow AF'(k_1) - \nu \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0 F'(k_0) - \nu + \frac{1-p}{R} \overbrace{(A_1 F'(k_1) - \nu)}^{(-)} (1-\delta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_0^* < k_0^*(A_0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_0 F'(k_0) - \nu + \underbrace{E[V_k(k_0, A_1)]}_{< 0} = 0$$

◦ less investment in the face of irreversibility.

◦ lower capital stock in first period

◦ more capital than I wanted in second period, on average.

More uncertainty \Rightarrow more cautious

Investment rule:

$$(1-\delta)k_0 \geq k_1^* \rightarrow \text{don't invest}$$

$$(1-\delta)k_0 < k_1^* \rightarrow \text{invest until } k_1 = k_1^*$$

Bentolila and Bertola (Labor Demand)

$$\hat{\pi}(k, z) = k^\alpha z^{1-\alpha}$$

Bellman:

$$V(k_-, z_-) = E \left[\max_{k \geq (1-\delta)k_-} [\hat{\pi}(k, z) - rk + \beta V(k, z)] \right]$$

Assume $\log z$ is a random walk

$$\text{Guess } V(k, z) = z V\left(\frac{k}{z}, 1\right) = z v\left(\frac{k}{z}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow z v\left(\frac{k}{z}, 1\right) = E \left[\max_{\substack{k^- \geq (1-\delta)\frac{z^-}{z} \\ k^- \leq \frac{k}{z^-}} \left\{ z \left(\pi\left(\frac{k}{z}, 1\right) - r \frac{k}{z} \right) + \beta z v\left(\frac{k}{z}, 1\right) \right\} \right]$$

$$\text{let } \varepsilon = \frac{z}{z^-}$$

$$\Rightarrow v(k) = E \left[\varepsilon \max_{k \geq \varepsilon^{-1}(1-\delta)k} (\pi(k) - rk + \beta v(k)) \right]$$

$$\text{unconstrained: } \pi'(k^*) = r - \beta v'(k^*)$$

• still a barrier control problem.

Can also put fixed costs in adjustment.