

Romer:

- Summarizes two JPE papers that use GNP and unemployment
- Volatility in these measures decreased after WWII
  - Partly due to Keynesian activism.
  - Rise of lots of economists involved directly in policy
- "Look how bad the world was before WWII"
  - lots of volatility

How were the old series constructed?

- commodity output and census data used to interpolate GNP
- commodities are much more volatile than GNP should be.
- Romer corrects this by looking at deviation from trend

Unemployment - until CPS in 1948, all there are are 10 year censuses. Assumed labor force was constant over time, but labor force participation should be pro-cyclical.

"Romerize" the data - take good data and make it bad.

obscure of a role for Keynesian stabilization policy.

- Romer finds that volatility has remained relatively constant
- With a spot labor market, there should be less volatility than with long-term contracts.

To construct a more accurate GNP series, need to have a good price deflator.

Boskin commission - the CPI has a bias.

- Laspeyres - base period: long-time ago
- doesn't take into account substitution
- Paasche - base period: present

Laspeyres is upward biased

Paasche is downward biased

Can use the geometric mean: Fisher ideal

Biases: ◦ quality increases

◦ e.g. heart attack treatment prices have greatly increased, but so has quality

◦ product innovation

◦ takes a while to introduce new goods into the CPI.

◦ outlet bias - it depends where a product is bought

◦ Hausman: "Does the BLS know that Walmart exists?"

◦ Samuelson, "That's interesting, Jerry. What's WalMart?"

CPI doesn't deal well with housing prices and rental prices.

Little work done on CPI bias before 1970

◦ none on overall bias

◦ Nordhaus - did some work on fighting

Boskin commission:

◦ bias is probably larger now than earlier

◦ much more rapid technological change

◦ number of goods higher

◦ service goods have become more salient in the economy.

Food as share of expenditures has decreased and recreation has increased.

◦ Econometrics textbooks count as recreation.

Use food and recreation as indicator goods

◦ income elasticities substantially different from

one.

◦ food non-durable

◦ recreation reflects hours of work.

## Estimation strategy:

- 2 households - identical in total expenditure, but one spends lower share of total expenditure on food.

$$w_{ijt} = \varphi + \alpha (\ln P_{I,ijt} - \ln P_{N,ijt}) + \beta (\ln \bar{Y}_{ijt} - \ln P_{ijt}) + x' \theta + u_{ijt} + \sum_{t=1}^T \delta_t D_t$$

I - indicator good

N - non-indicator good

$D_t$  - indicator for time =  $t$ .

$\{\delta_t\}_{t=1}^T$  picks up cpi bias.

## Data:

- Consumer Expenditure Surveys
  - early surveys covered specialized population
  - focused on upper working class
  - need enough overlap in income
- Make restrictions to newer data so that it is comparable to the older data.
- adjust for inflation annually - monthly during WWI,
- very high quality surveys in 1917

Can you take a single Engel curve going from 1880s to 1990s? No

◦ Can pool 1888-1935 } cannot pool 1888-1994  
 ◦ Can pool 1960-1994 }

- Price volatility was higher in 1970s than in 1960s
- CPI was improved in 1980s.

Why is there bias in 1920s/1930s?

- New consumer goods
  - light bulbs, cars, refrigerators
  - rise of chain stores in 1920s
- housing boom