

Hint: 1 and 2 - consistency fails (identification does not hold)

$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, A_0^{-1} B_0 A_0^{-1})$  for extremum estimators

$$A_0 = E[H(w, \theta_0)]$$

$$B_0 = E[s(w, \theta_0) s(w, \theta_0)'] = \text{Var}[s(w, \theta_0)]$$

Thus,  $A \text{var } \hat{\theta} = \frac{A_0^{-1} B_0 A_0^{-1}}{N}$

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(w_i, \hat{\theta}) \rightarrow A_0$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s(w_i, \hat{\theta}) s(w_i, \hat{\theta})' \rightarrow B_0$$

### Testing

Wald:  $H_0: c(\theta_0) = 0$   
 $Q \times 1$

In large samples,  $c(\hat{\theta}) \approx 0$

$$\text{Let } c(\theta) = \frac{\partial c(\theta)}{\partial \theta'}$$

$Q \times K$

Delta method gives us:  $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{N}(c(\hat{\theta}) - \underbrace{c(\theta_0)}_{=0 \text{ under } H_0}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \underbrace{CVC'}_{Q \times K \times K \times K \times Q})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{N} c(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, CVC')$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{N} (c(\hat{\theta}) \hat{V} c(\hat{\theta})')^{-1/2} c(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_Q)$$

$$\Rightarrow N c(\hat{\theta})' (c(\hat{\theta}) \hat{V} c(\hat{\theta})')^{-1} c(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(Q)$$

Drawbacks: • Need  $\theta_0 \in \text{int}(\Theta)$

• Not invariant to how restriction is written.

Advantage: Need only compute  $\hat{\theta}$  unrestricted.

### Lagrange Multiplier Test (Score test)

Score at restricted estimator should be approximately zero.

$$\hat{S}^R = \sqrt{N}^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(\hat{\theta}^R)$$

It turns out that

$$LM = \hat{S}^R{}' A_0^{-1} C_0' (C_0 A_0^{-1} B_0 A_0^{-1} C_0')^{-1} C_0 A_0^{-1} \hat{S}^R \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(Q)$$

LM involves evaluating everything at  $\hat{\theta}^R$ .

### Likelihood Ratio

Change in objective function under null from  $\hat{\theta}^{UR}$  to  $\hat{\theta}^R$  should be small

$$QLR = 2(Q(\hat{\theta}^{UR}) - Q(\hat{\theta}^R)) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(Q) \text{ under } H_0$$

Disadvantages: • Need GIME (generalized information matrix equality) to hold.

• Have to compute  $\hat{\theta}^{UR}$  and  $\hat{\theta}^R$ .

$$\bullet T(y_i, \lambda_0) = X_i' \delta_0 + u_i, \quad E[u_i | X_i] = 0$$

i) Want to find  $Z$  such that  $E[Zu] = 0$

• By  $E[u_i | X_i] = 0$   $E[h(X)u] = 0$  for any function  $h$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[h(X) \left(\frac{y^2 - 1}{\lambda} - X' \delta_0\right)\right] = 0$$

(i) For  $\beta = (\lambda, \delta_0)'$ , find a nice function  $g_i(\beta)$   
s.t.  $E[g_i(\beta_0)] = 0$

$$\circ \text{ let } h(\mathbf{X}_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{X}_{iK} \\ \mathbf{X}_{i1}^2 \\ \mathbf{X}_{iK}^2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow g_i(\beta) = h(\mathbf{X}_i) \left( \frac{y_i^2 - 1}{2} - \mathbf{X}_i' \delta \right)$$

$$\circ E[g_i(\beta_0)] = 0$$

$2K \times 1$

$\Rightarrow$  let  $\bar{g}(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\beta)$ . Then we want to

$\min_{\beta} \bar{g}(\beta)' \hat{A}^{-1} \bar{g}(\beta)$ . This will give us  $\hat{\beta}_{GMM}$

iii) First, let us find any GMM estimator. Let

$\hat{A}^{-1} = I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{GMM}^I &= \text{argmin}_{\beta} \bar{g}(\beta)' \bar{g}(\beta) \\ &= \text{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^{2K} (\bar{g}^l(\beta))^2 \\ &= \text{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^{2K} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^l(\beta) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This will be inefficient.

$$\text{Define } \hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\hat{\beta}_{GMM}^I) g_i(\hat{\beta}_{GMM}^I)'$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Avar}(g_i(\hat{\beta}_{GMM}^I))$$

$$\text{Then let } \hat{\beta}_{GMM}^2 = \text{argmin}_{\beta} \bar{g}(\beta)' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \bar{g}(\beta)$$