

## Motivation

Suppose that  $u$  has a continuous distribution and has median equal to  $m$ . It can then be shown that

$$\operatorname{argmin}_t E [|u - t|] = m$$

Now suppose that we have a linear regression model

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + u_i$$

with the restriction that the conditional median of  $u_i$  given  $x_i$  is equal to zero. Because

$$E [|y_i - t(x_i)| | x_i]$$

is minimized at  $t(x_i) = x_i' \beta$ , we can see that

$$\operatorname{argmin}_b E [|y_i - x_i' b|] = \beta$$

Sample analog is then given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_b \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i' b|$$

## Asymptotic Distribution

Despite the nondifferentiability problem, our asymptotic machinery for analysis of m-estimator turns out still valid. Note that the derivative of  $|y_i - x_i' b|$ , when it exists, is equal to

$$1 (y_i - x_i' b < 0) x_i - 1 (y_i - x_i' b > 0) x_i$$

Therefore the LAD can be roughly understood as a method of moments estimator corresponding to the moment restriction

$$s(w_i, b) = 1 (y_i - x_i' b < 0) x_i - 1 (y_i - x_i' b > 0) x_i$$

According to our machinery, we should have

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, A^{-1} B A'^{-1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= E \left[ \frac{\partial s(w_i, \beta)}{\partial b'} \right] \\ B &= E [s(w_i, \beta) s(w_i, \beta)'] \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= E [s(w_i, \beta) s(w_i, \beta)'] = E [(1(u_i < 0) - 1(u_i > 0))^2 x_i x_i'] \\ &= E [x_i x_i'] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$A = E \left[ \frac{\partial s(w_i, \beta)}{\partial b'} \right] = E \left[ \frac{\partial (1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0))}{\partial b'} \right] x_i$$

But  $1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0)$  is not differentiable, so our machinery is not directly applicable. We cheat, and use an alternative definition of  $A$ :

$$A = \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} E [s(w_i, b)] \Big|_{b=\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} E [(1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0)) x_i] \Big|_{b=\beta}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &E [(1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0)) \cdot x_i | x_i] \\ &= E [(1(u_i - x_i'(b - \beta) < 0) - 1(u_i - x_i'(b - \beta) > 0)) | x_i] \cdot x_i \\ &= (F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) - (1 - F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i))) \cdot x_i \\ &= (2F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) - 1) \cdot x_i \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(\cdot | x_i)$  denotes the conditional CDF of  $u_i$  given  $x_i$ . We therefore have

$$E [(1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0)) x_i] = E [(2F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) - 1) \cdot x_i]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} E [(1(y_i - x_i' b < 0) - 1(y_i - x_i' b > 0)) x_i] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} E [(2F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) - 1) \cdot x_i] \\ &= E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} (2F(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) - 1) \cdot x_i \right] \\ &= E [2f(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) x_i' \cdot x_i] \\ &= 2E [f(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) \cdot x_i' x_i] \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(\cdot | x_i)$  denotes the conditional PDF of  $u_i$  given  $x_i$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b'} E [s(w_i, b)] \Big|_{b=\beta} \\ &= 2 E [f(x_i'(b - \beta) | x_i) \cdot x_i' x_i] \Big|_{b=\beta} \\ &= 2E [f(x_i'(\beta - \beta) | x_i) \cdot x_i' x_i] \\ &= 2E [f(0 | x_i) \cdot x_i' x_i] \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N \left( 0, \frac{1}{4} (E[f(0|x_i) \cdot x'_i x_i])^{-1} E[x'_i x_i] (E[f(0|x_i) \cdot x'_i x_i])^{-1} \right)$$

If  $u_i$  and  $x_i$  are independent of each other, then we have  $f(0|x_i) = f(0)$ , and

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N \left( 0, \frac{1}{4f(0)^2} (E[x'_i x_i])^{-1} \right)$$

Estimation of asymptotic variance requires knowledge of or estimation of  $f(0)$ . This object may be estimated based on residuals  $\{\hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_n\}$ . Below, we discuss how it could be estimated if  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  were observed.

## Digression 1: Nonparametric Density Estimation

Suppose that you are given a random sample  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  from a common PDF  $f(\cdot)$ . Suppose that you are interested in estimating  $f(c)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  without any parametric knowledge. For this purpose, note that

$$f(c) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(c+h) - F(c-h)}{2h} \approx \frac{F(c+h) - F(c-h)}{2h} = \frac{\Pr[c-h < w_i < c+h]}{2h}$$

Therefore, a reasonable estimate of  $f(c)$  seems to be the sample analog

$$\hat{f}(c) = \frac{1}{2h} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{c-h < w_i < c+h\} = \frac{1}{2nh} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{c-h < w_i < c+h\}$$

Letting

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{2} 1\{-1 < u < 1\}$$

we can rewrite

$$\hat{f}(c) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{w_i - c}{h}\right)$$

Note that

$$\int K(u) du = 1$$

$$\int uK(u) du = 0$$

and hence, can be understood as an auxiliary density function, or kernel, for estimation of  $f(\cdot)$ . This suggests that we can use some other kernels such as

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right)$$

$$K(u) = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{5}} \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{5}\right) \cdot 1\{|u| < \sqrt{5}\}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[ \widehat{f}(c) \right] &= E \left[ \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{h} E \left[ K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{h} \int K \left( \frac{u - c}{h} \right) f(u) du \\
&= \int K(z) f(c + hz) dz \approx \int K(z) \left( f(c) + f'(c)hz + \frac{1}{2}f''(c)(hz)^2 \right) dz \\
&= f(c) \int K(z) dz + hf'(c) \int zK(z) dz + \frac{1}{2}h^2 f''(c) \int z^2 K(z) dz \\
&= f(c) + \frac{\kappa_2 f''(c)}{2} h^2
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$\kappa_2 = \int u^2 K(u) du$$

In order to have a small bias, we would like to have  $h$  “small”.

Also note that

$$\text{Var} \left( \widehat{f}(c) \right) = \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var} \left( K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right)$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[ K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right)^2 \right] &= \int K \left( \frac{u - c}{h} \right)^2 f(u) du \\
&= h \int K(z)^2 f(c + hz) dz \\
&\approx h \int K(z)^2 \left( f(c) + f'(c)hz + \frac{1}{2}f''(c)(hz)^2 \right) dz \\
&= hf(c) \int K(z)^2 dz + h^2 f'(c) \int zK(z)^2 dz + \frac{1}{2}h^3 f''(c) \int z^2 K(z)^2 dz
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var} \left( K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right) &= hf(c) \int K(z)^2 dz + h^2 f'(c) \int zK(z)^2 dz + \frac{1}{2}h^3 f''(c) \int z^2 K(z)^2 dz \\
&\quad - \left( h \cdot \left( f(c) + \frac{\kappa_2 f''(c)}{2} h^2 \right) \right)^2 \\
&= hf(c) \int K(z)^2 dz + o(h)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var} \left( \widehat{f}(c) \right) &= \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var} \left( K \left( \frac{w_i - c}{h} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{nh^2} \left\{ hf(c) \int K(z)^2 dz + o(h) \right\} \\
&\approx \frac{f(c) \int K(z)^2 dz}{nh}
\end{aligned}$$

and we would like to have  $nh$  “large”.

Note that the mean squared error is approximately equal to

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_2 f''(c)}{2} h^2\right)^2 + \frac{f(c) \int K(z)^2 dz}{nh}$$

We would like to choose  $h = O(n^{-\delta})$  such that the MSE is minimized. Note that the bias squared is of order  $O((h^2)^2) = O(n^{-4\delta})$ , and the variance is of order  $O(n^{-1+\delta})$ . It follows that

$$\text{MSE} = O(n^{-4\delta}) + O(n^{-1+\delta}) = O(\max(n^{-4\delta}, n^{-1+\delta}))$$

MSE is minimized when  $n^{-4\delta}$  and  $n^{-1+\delta}$  are equalized, i.e.,  $\delta = \frac{1}{5}$ . This leads to the usual suggestion in the literature:  $h = O(n^{-1/5})$

## Digression 2: Multivariate Density Estimation

If  $\dim(w_i) = K$ , we have

$$f(c_1, \dots, c_K) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Pr[c_1 - h < w_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < w_{i,K} < c_K + h]}{(2h)^K}$$

which suggests an estimator of the form

$$\hat{f}(c) = \frac{1}{n(2h)^K} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{c_1 - h < w_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < w_{i,K} < c_K + h\}$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} K(u_1, \dots, u_K) &= \frac{1}{2^K} 1\{-1 < u_1 < 1, \dots, -1 < u_K < 1\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{1}{2} 1\{-1 < u_k < 1\}\right) = \prod_{k=1}^K \mathcal{K}(u_k) \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite

$$\hat{f}(c) = \frac{1}{nh^K} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{w_i - c}{h}\right) \left( = \frac{1}{nh^K} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{w_{i,k} - c_k}{h}\right)\right) \right)$$

This suggests that we can use some other kernel as in the univariate case as well.

## Digression 3: Nonparametric Regression

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_i | x_i = c] &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{E[y_i | c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h]}{E[1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}]} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{E[y_i \cdot 1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}]}{E[1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}]} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$E[y_i | x_i = c] \approx \frac{E[y_i \cdot 1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}]}{E[1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}]}$$

for some small  $h$ . Because

$$\begin{aligned} & E[y_i \cdot 1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}] \\ \approx & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot 1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\} \\ = & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot K\left(\frac{x_i - c}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E[1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\}] \\ \approx & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{c_1 - h < x_{i,1} < c_1 + h, \dots, c_K - h < x_{i,K} < c_K + h\} \\ = & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_i - c}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the kernel regression estimator

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot K\left(\frac{x_i - c}{h}\right)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_i - c}{h}\right)}$$