

Today: GMM

1] cf 2SLS as minimum distance

2] cf White's efficient IV estimator

◦ nonlinear case - can improve upon White-Newey-West

Function $g_i(\beta) = g(z_i, \beta)$ $m \times 1$ vector $g: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

◦ can think of g as the FOCs

◦ $E[g_i(\beta)] = 0$

Let $\hat{g}(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\beta)$

\hat{A} pd with $\hat{A} \rightarrow A$

Then $\hat{\beta}_{GMM} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{g}(\beta)' \hat{A} \hat{g}(\beta)$

◦ this is referred to as the generalized method of moments.

◦ cf $\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} = (y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)' P_Z (y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)$

$$= \underbrace{(y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)'}_{\hat{g}'} \underbrace{Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z'}_{\hat{A}} \underbrace{Z' (y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)}_{\hat{g}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{OIV} = (y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)' Z (Z' \Omega Z)^{-1} Z' (y_1 - X_1 \delta_1)$$

If your system is just identified, it doesn't matter what your weight matrix is.

Typically, we have overidentification: $m > p$.

$$y_i = \mathbf{X}_i' \beta + \varepsilon_i \quad E[\mathbf{Z}_i \varepsilon_i] = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, N$$

Moment functions: $g_i(\beta) = \mathbf{Z}_i (y_i - \mathbf{X}_i' \beta)$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{g}(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Z}' (y - \mathbf{X} \beta)$$

Thus, $\hat{A} = \left[\frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z}) \right]^{-1}$, which gives us

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{GMM}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \hat{g}(\beta)' \hat{A} \hat{g}(\beta)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} (y - \mathbf{X} \beta)' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' (y - \mathbf{X} \beta)$$

Want to find asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{n} \hat{g}(\beta_0)$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\sqrt{n} \hat{g}(\beta_0)) \rightarrow \Omega \equiv \underbrace{\Lambda_0}_{\text{white matrix}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_k + \Lambda_k'), \text{ where}$$

$$\Lambda_k = E[g_i(\beta_0) g_{i+k}(\beta_0)']$$

Optimal GMM has $\hat{A} \xrightarrow{P} \Omega^{-1}$

Examples: 2SLS with heteroskedasticity but no serial correlation. (White's efficient IV estimator)

$$\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' \underbrace{\hat{\varepsilon}_i^2}_{\text{2SLS residuals}}$$

If you have auto correlation,

$$\hat{\Omega}_\rho = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-\rho} \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_{i+\rho}' \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{\varepsilon}_{i+\rho}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \hat{\beta}^{\text{GMM}} = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{y}$$

GMM is, in finite samples,

1] biased, which gets worse as $m \gg p$, and
 • even more biased than 2SLS.

2] test of overid (J-test) is "biased" toward rejection.

3] se's are biased downwards.

Can deal with 1] by using continuously updated estimator "CUE" (CUEs similar to LIML).

$$\hat{\beta} = \min_{\beta} \hat{g}(\beta) [\hat{\Omega}(\beta)]^{-1} \hat{g}(\beta)$$

• still has a bias originating from $\hat{\Omega}$ term.

• median unbiased

• no moments (has not been proven for nonlinear case)

• very difficult to estimate

• objective fn is "flat" in β .

Want to show that optimal $A = \Omega^{-1}$

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$$

$$\text{where } V = (G' A G)^{-1} G' A \Omega A' G (G' A G)^{-1}$$

$$G \equiv E \left[\frac{\partial g_i(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} \right]$$

setting $A = \Omega^{-1}$, we get $V = (G' \Omega^{-1} G)^{-1}$

For any other \tilde{A} , get $\tilde{V} = (G' \tilde{A} G)^{-1} G' \tilde{A} \Omega \tilde{A}' G (G' \tilde{A} G)^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{V} - V = \underbrace{F(I - H(H'H)^{-1}H')}_{Q_H} F' \geq 0$$

J-test (or Sargantest):

an efficient GMM estimator.

$$T = n \hat{g}(\hat{\beta})' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{g}(\hat{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(m-p)$$

• can use the objective function as a test statistic.

If $g_i(\beta) = Z_i(y - X_i'\beta)$, we have

$$T = \frac{1}{n} \hat{\varepsilon}' Z \hat{\Omega}^{-1} Z' \hat{\varepsilon}$$

Can do Wald test, sum of squared residuals test (cf LR), and LM test.

Two examples:

Intertemporal CAPM

- C_t is consumption at time t
- R_t is asset return
- $u(c, \lambda_0), Z_t$

$$\text{FOCs: } g_t(\beta) = Z_t \left[\underbrace{R_t^{-\alpha} \frac{u_c(C_{t+1}, \lambda)}{u_c(C_t, \lambda)}}_{\text{discounted}} - 1 \right] \rightarrow (CF \cdot MRS_{c,t+1} = \frac{1}{R_t} = \text{price ratio})$$

can be seen as a residual

use logs of c_{t+1} and R_t

Dynamic Panel Data

$$E^* [y_{it} | y_{it-1}, \dots, y_{i0}, \alpha_i] = \beta_0 y_{it-1} + \alpha_i$$

very near 1 fixed effect

Let $\eta_{it} = y_{it} - E^*[\cdot]$. By orthogonality conditions,

$$E[y_{it-j} \eta_{it}] = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq t) \quad t=1, \dots, T \quad (T=5)$$

$$\text{Let } \Delta y_{it} = y_{it} - y_{it-1}$$

$$\text{Orthogonality: } E[y_{it-j} (\Delta y_{it} - \beta_0 \Delta y_{it-1})] = 0 \quad 2 \leq j \leq t$$

first differences of regression model

min bias: * instruments ≈ 22

◦ optimal uses 1 instrument

◦ best to use is long differences

since we are local to unit circle,

If want to minimize MSE: want to use 3-4 instruments

Lectures on weak instruments available on web.

$$Y_1 = Y_2 \beta + Z_1 \pi + u$$

$$Y_2 = Z_1 \pi_1 + Z_2 \pi_2 + v$$

$$Q_{Z_1} = I - Z_1' (Z_1' Z_1)^{-1} Z_1'$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_1 &= \beta y_2 + \varepsilon \\ y_2 &= Z \pi_2 + v \end{aligned} \right\} \text{after partialling out the } Z_1 \text{'s.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ v \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(0, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} & \sigma_{\varepsilon v} \\ \sigma_{\varepsilon v} & \sigma_{vv} \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{assume } \sigma_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} = \sigma_{vv} = 1 \\ \text{and } \sigma_{\varepsilon v} = \rho$$

$$\circ \text{Var}(y_2) = \frac{1}{1-R^2}$$

assume $\pi_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ identification

$$\circ E \left[\frac{Z_1' \varepsilon}{n} \right]$$

$$\text{Then } E[\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} - \beta] \sim \frac{K \sigma_{\varepsilon v}}{n \Theta} \sim \frac{K \sigma_{\varepsilon v}}{n R^2 \text{var}(y_2)} = \frac{K \rho (1-R^2)}{n R^2}$$

If $K \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\frac{K}{n} \rightarrow \alpha$, 2SLS will be

inconsistent. (Bekker asymptotics)

$$\text{Here, } \Theta = \frac{\pi' Z_1' Z_1 \pi}{n}$$

$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} - \beta) \rightarrow N\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon v}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}, V_{2SLS}\right), V_{2SLS} = \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon \varepsilon}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}$
 concentration parameter is $\frac{nR^2}{1-R^2}$

We will look at the 'reverse' regression

$$y_2 = \delta y_1 + \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

$$y_1 = z\pi_1 + v$$

$$s = \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

Nagar: variance is
JN

$$\frac{1-R^2}{R^2} + \frac{K(1+\rho^2)}{n} \left(\frac{1-R^2}{R^2}\right)^2$$

Fuller, LIML variance is

$$\frac{1-R^2}{R^2} + \frac{K(1+\rho^2)}{n} \left(\frac{1-R^2}{R^2}\right)^2$$

- Fuller/LIML do better than Nagar/JN
- Fuller estimator has moments.