

14. 383

Fall 2003

Handout #5

T.A.: Alexis León

aleon@mit.edu

E52-351

Off. hrs.: Tue., 4 pm.

①

①

FIML

Recall from ~~last section~~ ^{handout #4} that the FOCs for FIML reduce to:

$$\boxed{\pi_j' (Z'U) \Sigma_{uj}^{-1} = 0} \quad (1_j)$$

$$\boxed{Z_j' U \Sigma_{uj}^{-1} = 0} \quad (2_j)$$

where Σ_{uj}^{-1} is the j^{th} column of Σ^{-1} ,
 $u_i' \sim N(0, \Sigma)$

- Z_j is the matrix of exogenous variables that appears in Equation j , i.e. $y_j = \gamma_j \beta_j + Z_j \gamma_j + u_j$

- π_j is the matrix of reduced form parameters for γ_j , the set of endogenous variables that appear on the RHS of equation j .

Noting that $Z_j = Z C_j$ and defining

$D_j = [\pi_j \quad C_j]$, we have, grouping

(1_j), (2_j),

$$\boxed{D_j' (Z'U) \Sigma_{uj}^{-1} = 0}$$

(3)

(3)

Comparing FIML, 3SLS

$$\hat{\delta}_{3SLS} = (\bar{X}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes P_2) \bar{X})^{-1} (\bar{X}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes P_2) \bar{y})$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (\bar{X}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes P_2) \bar{X}) \hat{\delta}_{3SLS} - \bar{X}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes P_2) \bar{y}$$

$$0 = \bar{X}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes P_2) (\bar{X} \hat{\delta}_{3SLS} - \bar{y})$$

$$\{ \text{use } (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD \}$$

$$(5) \quad 0 = \bar{X}' (I \otimes Z' (Z'Z)^{-1}) (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes Z') (\bar{X} \hat{\delta}_{3SLS} - \bar{y})$$

Compare this to:

$$(4) \quad 0 = \bar{D}' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes Z') (\bar{X} \hat{\delta}_{FIML} - \bar{y})$$

3SLS and FIML both use a matrix of weights to form instrumental variables

$$\hat{\delta} = (\bar{W}' \bar{X})^{-1} (\bar{W}' \bar{y})$$

$$\bar{W}_{FIML} = (I \otimes Z) \underbrace{(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I)}_{\hat{A}_{FIML}} \bar{D}$$

$$\bar{W}_{3SLS} = (I \otimes Z) \underbrace{(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I) (I \otimes (Z'Z)^{-1} Z')}_{\hat{A}_{3SLS}} \bar{X}$$

(4)

Look at the plim of $(I \otimes (Z'Z)^{-1} Z') \bar{X}$:

$$\text{plim} (I \otimes (Z'Z)^{-1} Z') \bar{X} = \text{plim} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{Z'Z}{T}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{Z'X^1}{T}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{Z'Z}{T}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{Z'X^m}{T}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \text{plim} \frac{Z'X^j}{T} &= \text{plim} \frac{Z' [Y^j, Z^j]}{T} \\ &= \text{plim} \frac{Z' [Z\pi_j + v_j, Zc_j]}{T} \\ &= \text{plim} \left(\frac{Z'Z}{T}\right) [\pi_j, c_j] \\ &= \text{plim} \left(\frac{Z'Z}{T}\right) D_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{plim} (I \otimes (Z'Z)^{-1} Z') \bar{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \dots & D_m \end{bmatrix} = \bar{D}$$

This shows that the weighting matrices

\bar{W}_{FIML} , \bar{W}_{3SLS} are asymptotically the same.

\Rightarrow FIML, 3SLS are asymptotically equivalent.

(5)

(5)

Limited Information Maximum Likelihood (LIML)

LIML is simply FIML on only one equation. We do FIML on:

This is our system

$$\begin{cases} y_i = \gamma_i \beta_i + z_i \delta_i + U_i & \text{(1st equation)} \\ \gamma_i = z_i \pi_i + V_i & \text{(Reduced Form for endog. variables on RHS of 1st eq.)} \end{cases}$$

$\begin{matrix} \gamma_i & \beta_i & z_i & \delta_i & U_i \\ \text{Tx1} & \text{Txr}_1 & \text{r1x1} & \text{TxS}_1 & \text{Sx1} & \text{Tx1} \end{matrix}$

$\begin{matrix} \gamma_i & \pi_i & V_i \\ \text{Txr}_1 & \text{Txk} & \text{Kxr}_1 & \text{Txr}_1 \end{matrix}$

For a single observation,

$$\text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} U_{it} \\ V_{it} \end{pmatrix} = \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \psi_{12} \\ \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

(1+r₁) x (1+r₁)

$$\text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} U_i \\ V_i \end{pmatrix} = \Psi \otimes I_T$$

$$\Psi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{11} & \psi^{12} \\ \psi^{21} & \psi^{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Psi \Psi^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$.

(6)

Let's use the FOC's found for FIML:

$$\pi_j' Z' U \Sigma_{(j)}^{-1} = 0 \quad (\text{for endog. RHS variables})$$

$$Z_j' U \Sigma_{(j)}^{-1} = 0 \quad (\text{for exog. RHS variables}).$$

Applying these to the first equation and noting

that $U = [u, v_1]$, $\Sigma_{(1)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi'' \\ \psi^{21} \end{bmatrix}$, we have

$$\pi_1' Z' U \Sigma_{(1)}^{-1} = 0$$

$$\pi_1' Z' [u, v_1] \begin{pmatrix} \psi'' \\ \psi^{21} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{FOC for } \beta_1: \pi_1' Z' [u, \psi'' + v_1, \psi^{21}] = 0} \quad (L1)$$

$$Z_1' U \Sigma_{(1)}^{-1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{FOC for } \delta_1: Z_1' (u, \psi'' + v_1, \psi^{21}) = 0} \quad (L2)$$

The second block of equations in the system

$$\text{is } Y_1 = Z \pi_1 + v_1.$$

Here, we have only exogenous variables

on the RHS.

$$Z' U \Sigma_{(2)}^{-1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{FOC for } \pi_1: Z' (u, \psi^{12} + v_1, \psi^{22}) = 0} \quad (L3)$$

(7)

(7)

From (L3), we have:

$$Z'U_1 \Psi^{12} + Z'V_1 \Psi^{22} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Z'V_1 = -Z'U_1 \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1}$$

Plug this into (L1):

$$\pi_1' Z' U_1 \Psi^{11} + \pi_1' (-Z' U_1 \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1}) \Psi^{21} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1' Z' U_1 \underbrace{(\Psi^{11} - \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1} \Psi^{21})}_{\text{Scalar}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\pi_1' Z' U_1 = 0} \quad (L1')$$

Also, plug into (L2) $Z_1' V_1 = -Z_1' U_1 \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1}$

(this is also true from L3):

$$Z_1' U_1 \Psi^{11} - Z_1' U_1 \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1} \Psi^{21} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_1' U_1 \underbrace{(\Psi^{11} - \Psi^{12} (\Psi^{22})^{-1} \Psi^{21})}_{\text{Scalar}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_1' U_1 = 0$$

Define the selection matrix C_1 by $Z_1 = Z C_1$

Then we have:

$$\boxed{C_1' Z' U_1 = 0} \quad (L2')$$

Stack $(L1')$, $(L2')$:

$$\pi_1' z' u_1 = 0$$

$$c_1' z' u_1 = 0$$

Define $D_1 = [\pi_1 \ c_1]$

\Rightarrow $D_1' z' u_1 = 0$ This is the FOC for LIML.

Plugging in $u_1 = y_1 - x_1 \delta_1$,

we have $\hat{\delta}_{1, LIML} = (D_1' z' x)^{-1} (D_1' z' y)$

Note that $D_1 = [\pi_1 \ c_1]$

π_1 must be estimated and plugged in.

- LIML is asymptotically equivalent to 2SLS (showing this is similar to FZML, 3SLS).

9

TESTING

Limited Information

I) Test of Overidentifying Restrictions

The general test where u may be nonspherical, $Z'u/\sqrt{T} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$,

$$V = \text{plim} \frac{Z'UU'Z}{T} = \text{plim} \frac{Z'\Omega Z}{T}$$

$$\Omega = E(uu')$$

is given by:

$$W = \left(\frac{Z'\hat{u}}{\sqrt{T}} \right)' \hat{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{Z'\hat{u}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{l-k}$$

where $\hat{V} \xrightarrow{p} V$ and $l-k = \text{degree of overidentification}$ ($y_j = \underbrace{\lambda_j}_{k} \delta_j + u_j$, \underbrace{Z}_{l})

When u is spherical,

$$V = \sigma^2 \text{plim} (Z'Z/T) \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{V} = \hat{\sigma}^2 (Z'Z/T) = (\hat{u}'\hat{u}/T) (Z'Z/T)$$

Plugging in,

$$W = T \frac{\hat{u}'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'\hat{u}}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{l-k}$$

TESTING - LI

I) Note that $\frac{\hat{u}'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'\hat{u}}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}}$ is the R^2 in the regression of \hat{u} on Z .

So an equivalent test is:

1) Regress \hat{u} on Z , obtain R^2

2) TR^2 is our test statistic, with distribution χ^2_{l-k}

II) Hausman Test: OIV vs. 2SLS

$$\hat{q} = \hat{\delta}_{2SLS} - \hat{\delta}_{OIV}$$

$$h = T\hat{q}'[V(\hat{\delta}_{2SLS}) - V(\hat{\delta}_{OIV})]\hat{q} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_k$$

where k is the dimension of δ

III) Tests for Identification based on RF

Structural Form overidentifying restrictions

impose non linear restrictions on the

RF parameters: $h(\pi) = 0$

We test $H_0: h(\pi) = 0$ on the RF

using Wald, LM, LR Tests.

(11)

TESTING

FULL INFORMATION

$$\bar{y} = \bar{X}\bar{\delta} + \bar{u} \quad \text{stacked model}$$

$p_i = l - k_i$ is degree of overidentification of the i^{th} equation.

$$W = \hat{u}' (\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes P_2) \hat{u} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i}$$

degree of overidentification of the system

This can be written as

$$W = \frac{\hat{u}' (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{Z})}{\sqrt{T}} \left[\hat{\Sigma} \otimes \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}}{T} \right) \right]^{-1} \frac{(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{Z})' \hat{u}}{\sqrt{T}}$$

inverse of AVar of $\frac{(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{Z})' \hat{u}}{\sqrt{T}}$

which helps to explain the distribution of W .

If we do FIML, we can use a LR test based on the RF:

$$W = 2 [\log L(\hat{\pi}_u, \hat{\Omega}_u) - \log L(\hat{\pi}_R, \hat{\Omega}_R)] \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(p)}$$

overidentification of the system

We can plug in

$$\hat{\pi}_R = -\hat{\Gamma}_{FIML} \hat{\beta}_{FIML}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_R = \hat{\beta}_{FIML}^{-1'} \hat{\Sigma}_{FIML} \hat{\beta}_{FIML}^{-1}$$

Computing $\hat{\pi}_R$ in this way, we can also do a Wald test as in LI.