

Heikki Rantokari
 heikki@mit.edu
 OH: T 1030-12:00
 stellar.mit.edu

Lerner index is a very important concept

Monopoly pricing

• Single product

$$\max_p \{ p D(p) - C[D(p)] \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p^m - C'}{p^m} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \text{ where}$$

$$\epsilon = - \frac{\partial D(p^m)}{\partial p^m} \frac{p^m}{D(p^m)}$$

In perfect competition, the firm "sees" $\epsilon = +\infty$

$$\Rightarrow p^m = C'$$

Multi-product:

n products

$$\max_{p_i} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i D_i(p) - C(D_1(p), \dots, D_n(p)) \right\}$$

Interdependencies in: $\frac{D_i(p)}{\text{demand side}}$ and $\frac{C}{\text{cost side}}$

$$\underbrace{(p_i) \left(D_i + p_i \frac{\partial D_i}{\partial p_i} \right)}_{\text{MR of } i} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i} p_j \frac{\partial D_j}{\partial p_i}}_{\text{MR of } -i} = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial C}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial D_j}{\partial p_i}}_{\text{MC of } i}$$

If $C(D_1(p), \dots, D_n(p)) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(D_i(p))$, FOCs become

$$c(p_i) \quad \frac{p_i - c_i'}{p_i} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ii}} - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{p_j - c_j'}{p_i} \frac{D_j}{D_i} \frac{\epsilon_{ij}}{\epsilon_{ii}}$$

Intuition:

- Higher markups if i and j are substitutes
- Lower markups if i and j are complements
- Can have $p_i < c_i'$ if it helps boost sales of j !

Second degree price discrimination (Two-part tariffs)

- Self-selection of consumers. (hidden types)

$$\Theta \in \{\theta_H, \theta_L\}$$

- $T(q)$ - tariff for quality q , MC of quality is c
- If you try to separate groups based on quantity, you typically run into arbitrage problems

- $V(q; \theta)$ value type θ derives from quality q .

$$\circ \frac{\partial V(q; \theta)}{\partial q} > 0; \frac{\partial^2 V(q; \theta)}{\partial q^2} < 0; \frac{\partial V(q; \theta)}{\partial \theta} > 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 V(q; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial q} > 0$$

Monopolist wants to

$$\max_{q_i, T_i} T_L + T_H - C(q_L + q_H)$$

s.t.

$$V(q_L; \theta_L) - T_L \geq 0 \quad (\text{IR}_L)$$

$$V(q_H; \theta_H) - T_H \geq 0 \quad (\text{IR}_H)$$

$$V(q_L; \theta_L) - T_L \geq V(q_H; \theta_L) - T_H \quad (\text{IC}_H)$$

$$V(q_L; \theta_H) - T_H \geq V(q_L; \theta_H) - T_L \quad (\text{IC}_L)$$

Typically, we need to worry only about (IR_L) and (IC_H)

\Rightarrow These should always be binding:

$$V(q_L; \theta_L) = T_L$$

$$V(q_H; \theta_H) - T_H = V(q_L; \theta_H) - \underbrace{V(q_L; \theta_L)}_{T_L}$$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{q_i} \underbrace{V(q_L; \theta_L) + V(q_H; \theta_H) - V(q_H; \theta_L)}_{T_H} + \underbrace{V(q_L; \theta_L)}_{T_L} - c(q_L + q_H)$$

$$(q_H): \frac{\partial V(q_H^*; \theta_H)}{\partial q_H} = c \quad > 0 \text{ since } \frac{\partial^2 V(q; \theta)}{\partial q^2} > 0$$

$$(q_L): \frac{\partial V(q_L^*; \theta_L)}{\partial q_L} = c + \left(\frac{\partial V(q_L^*; \theta_H)}{\partial q_L} - \frac{\partial V(q_L^*; \theta_L)}{\partial q_L} \right)$$

$$V(q_H^*; \theta_H) > T_H \quad \text{and} \quad V(q_L^*; \theta_L) = T_L$$

It turns out that $q_L^* < q_L^{FB}$

Intuition:

• Lowering q_L relaxes the (IC_H) constraint. (increases the rents to the high type, so you can extract more.)

Two-part tariff

- multi-product logic

product 1 = "access"

product 2 = "consumption"

$$T = A + pq$$

Suppose $\theta \stackrel{\text{cat}}{\sim} G(\theta)$, $\theta \in [\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$

$V(q; \theta)$. assume $V_q > 0$, $V_{qq} < 0$, $V_{q\theta} > 0$, $V_\theta > 0$

Consumers choose:

I] consumption:

$$q(p, \theta) = \underset{q}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ V(q; \theta) - A - pq \}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_q(q; \theta) = p$$

II] access: Consider θ^* s.t. $\theta \geq \theta^*$ enter market

$$\theta^*(p, A) \text{ is given by } V(q(p, \theta), \theta) = pq(p, \theta) + A$$

Cost side:

- access is free

- cost of c per unit

Monopolist solves

$$\max_{p, A} \left\{ \underbrace{A [1 - G(\theta^*(p, A))]}_{\text{revenues from access}} + \underbrace{(p-c)}_{\text{markup per unit}} \underbrace{\int_{\theta^*(p, A)}^{\bar{\theta}} q(p, \theta) g(\theta) d\theta}_{\text{total quantity supplied}} \right\}$$

$$Q = \int_{\theta^*}^{\bar{\theta}} q(p, \theta) g(\theta) d\theta = Q(p, \theta^*)$$

Let Q denote total consumption

$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}$ as θ^* constant

FOCs:

$$(A): 0 = [1 - G(\theta^*)] - A g(\theta^*) \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial A} + (p-c) [-q(p, \theta^*) g(\theta^*)] \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial A}$$

$$(p): 0 = -A g(\theta^*) \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial p} + Q + (p-c) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} + (p-c) [-q(p, \theta^*) g(\theta^*) \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial p}]$$

This gives

$$\frac{p-c}{p} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_p} \left[1 - \frac{q(p, \theta^*)}{Q} \right], \quad \bar{Q} = \frac{Q}{1 - G(\theta^*)}$$

$$\frac{A - [-(p-c)q(p, \theta^*)]}{A} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_A}$$

where $\epsilon_A = -g(\theta^*) \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial A} \frac{A}{1 - G(\theta^*)}$

$[-(p-c)q(p, \theta^*)]$ is interpreted as the "marginal cost of increasing A " because you lose consumers.