

Not responsible for sufficient statistics or asymptotic tests.

Fisher Information $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$I_1(\theta) = - E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = E \left[\left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]$$

single observation

$$I_n(\theta) = - E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \log f(x_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]$$

= $n I_1(\theta)$ for n iid observations (random sample).

Cramer-Rao Inequality This is crucial

If \bar{Y} is an unbiased estimator of θ ($E[\bar{Y}] = \theta$), then $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) \geq [I_n(\theta)]^{-1}$

If $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) - [I_n(\theta)]^{-1}$ is non-negative definite.

Based on whole sample

An estimator is said to be efficient if it is unbiased and its variance equals the Cramer-Rao lower bound.

Equivalently, $\bar{Y} = U(\bar{X})$ is efficient for θ if $E[\bar{Y}] = \theta$ and $\text{Var}[\bar{Y}] = [I_n(\theta)]^{-1}$.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < +\infty$. Show that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is efficient.

1) Find $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x_i^2}{2\theta}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(\theta)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \log L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

1c] Take FOC wrt θ :

$$(\theta): -\frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \frac{n}{2\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = n \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

2] Verify $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is unbiased:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta = \frac{n}{n} \theta = \theta$$

3] Compute $I_1(\theta)$

3a] Compute $\log f(x; \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x; \theta) &= \log \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right\} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \theta - \frac{x^2}{2\theta} \end{aligned}$$

3b] Compute $s(x; \theta)$

$$s(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{2\theta} + \frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}$$

3c] Compute $\frac{\partial s(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$

$$\frac{\partial s(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{2\theta^2} - \frac{x^2}{\theta^3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3d] I_1(\theta) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial s(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right] = E\left[\frac{x^2}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2}\right] = \frac{E[x^2]}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} = \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

4] Compute $I_n(\theta)$

$$I_n(\theta) = n I_1(\theta) = n \cdot \frac{1}{2\theta^2} = \frac{n}{2\theta^2}$$

5] Compute Cramer-Rao lower bound for the sample

$$I^{-1}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2\theta^2}} = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}$$

6] Compute $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [E[X_i^4] - [E[X_i^2]]^2]$$

This step is not obvious. Need to verify $E[X_i^4] = 3\theta^2$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [3\theta^2 - \theta^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [2\theta^2] = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}$$

7] Compare $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$ to $I^{-1}(\theta)$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \frac{2\theta^2}{n} = \frac{2\theta^2}{n} = I_n^{-1}(\theta)$$

Therefore, $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is an efficient estimator of θ .

Theorem: If $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is an MLE of θ , then $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [I_1(\theta)]^{-1})$
single observation

Example: If $X_i \sim N(0, \theta^2)$ and $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is the MLE of θ . Asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)$.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [I_1(\theta)]^{-1})$$

Just compute this

Test of statistical hypothesis.

Test \Leftrightarrow Critical region C

- Decision rule: If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$, reject H_0
- Significance level: $\Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C | H_0] = \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ is the significance level for the test C .

	H_0	H_1
A		II
R	I	

$$\text{power}(\theta, C) = \Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C | \theta]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{power}(H_1, C) &= \Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C | H_1] \\ &= 1 - \beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \beta = \Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin C | H_1] = \Pr[\text{Type II error}]$$

$$\alpha = \max_{\theta \in H_0} \Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C]$$

- ① size of test
- ② significance level of the test
- ③ Maximum probability of committing type I error
- ④ Maximum of the power of the test when H_0 is true.

Example:

$$\bar{X}_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad \sigma^2 \text{ known}, \quad \alpha = 0.05$$

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \quad H_1: \mu > \mu_0$$

$$\text{Statistic: } Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{Under } H_0: Z_0 = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{Under } H_1: Z_1 = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Decision rule:

Reject H_0 if $Z_0 > 1.645$

Power function $P(H_1, c) = \Pr[\underbrace{Z_0 > 1.645}_{\text{reject the null}} | H_1]$

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > 1.645 | H_1\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + 1.645 | H_1\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left[Z_1 > \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + 1.645 | H_1\right]$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + 1.645\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - 1.645\right)$$

Probability of
correctly rejecting
the null when H_1
is true.

when $\mu_1 \uparrow$, $P(H_1, c) \uparrow$

Best Critical Region (Most Powerful)

- Neyman-Pearson
 - Simple H_0 , Simple H_1
- L-R criteria
 - Composite H_0 or Composite H_1 .

Fall 04 Q5

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y \\ X \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_Y \\ \mu_X \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_Y^2 & \rho \sigma_Y \sigma_X \\ \rho \sigma_Y \sigma_X & \sigma_X^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

a) Let $u = (Y - \mu_Y) - \frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} (X - \mu_X)$

$$\text{Var}(ax+by) = a^2 \text{var}(x) + b^2 \text{var}(y) + 2ab \text{cov}(x, y).$$

ⓐ Show $u \perp\!\!\!\perp X - \mu_X$

Hint: Write $Y = \mu_Y + \frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} (X - \mu_X) + u$

Then $(Y | X=x) \sim N \left(\mu_Y + \frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} (x - \mu_X), \text{Var}(u) \right)$

ⓑ Compute $E[Y^2 | X=x]$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ X - \mu_X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y - \mu_Y \\ X - \mu_X \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_Y^2 & \rho \sigma_Y \sigma_X \\ \rho \sigma_Y \sigma_X & \sigma_X^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_Y^2 - \rho^2 \sigma_Y^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_X^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ⓑ } E[Y^2 | X=x] &= \text{Var}(u) + (E[Y])^2 \\ &= (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_Y^2 + \mu_Y^2 + \frac{\rho \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} (X - \mu_X) \end{aligned}$$

Qualifying 503 Q4

$$\bar{X}_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$$

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu > 0$$

You are suggested a testing strategy where H_0 is rejected

$$\text{iff } S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

① What is the exact probability of type I error when $n=4$?

② What is the limit of probability of type I error when $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Soln:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{1] } \Pr[\text{type I}] &= \Pr[\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}] \\
 &= \Pr\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0\right] \\
 &= \Pr\left[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) \geq 0.49 + \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0\right] \\
 &= \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) \geq 3.96 \mid H_0\right] \\
 &= \Pr\left[(\bar{X}_1 > 0) \cap (\bar{X}_2 > 0) \cap (\bar{X}_3 > 0) \cap (\bar{X}_4 > 0) \mid H_0\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{2] } \Pr[\text{type I}] = \Pr\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0\right]$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[\text{type I}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(\bar{X}_i > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} \geq 1.96 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mid H_0\right]$$

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\Pr(\bar{X} > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\Pr(\bar{X} > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left[\frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\Pr(\bar{X} > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1.96 \mid H_0\right] = 0.025$$